

120 S. Riverside Plaza,
Suite 1016
Chicago, Illinois 60606

Tel: (312) 793-8550
Fax: (312) 793-8422
TDD: (312) 793-4170

www.icjia.state.il.us

Prepared by

**The Research and
Analysis Unit**

**Rod R. Blagojevich
Governor**

**Sheldon Sorosky
Chairman**

**Lori G. Levin
Executive Director**

**December
2004**

Research and Program Evaluation in Illinois: The Extent and Nature of Drug and Violent Crime in Illinois' Counties



A Profile of the Cumberland County Criminal and Juvenile Justice Systems

This project was supported by Grant # 02-DB-MU-0017, awarded to the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority by the Bureau of Justice Assistance, Office of Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice. The Assistant Attorney General, Office of Justice Programs, coordinates the activities of the following programs, offices, and bureaus: Bureau of Justice Assistance, Bureau of Justice Statistics, National Institute of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, and the Office for Victims of Crime. Points of view or opinions contained within this document are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position or policies of the U.S. Department of Justice.

Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
120 S. Riverside Plaza, Suite 1016
Chicago, Illinois 60606-3997
Telephone (312) 793-8550
Telefax (312) 793-8422
World Wide Website <http://www.icjia.state.il.us>

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

A number of organizations and individuals put a great deal of effort into the development of this document. The Authority's Research and Analysis Unit is very grateful for the assistance provided by the following organizations:

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts
Illinois Department of Children and Family Services
U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census
Illinois Department of Corrections
Illinois State Police

This document was put together by the following Research and Analysis Unit staff:

Sharyn Adams
Jessica Ashley
Robert Bauer
Christopher Humble
Christine Martin
Adriana Perez
Idetta Phillips
Michelle Repp

CONTENTS

Section	Page Number
I. Introduction	1
II. Law Enforcement Activities in Cumberland County	3
III. Adult and Juvenile Court Activity in Cumberland County.....	11
IV. Jail Populations in Cumberland County	19
V. Indicators of Child Abuse and Neglect in Cumberland County	21
VI. Appendix I (Map of Illinois Counties within a Metropolitan Statistical Area).....	24
VII. Bibliography	25

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page Number
Figure 1. Total Violent Index Offense Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties.....	4
Figure 2. Total Property Index Offense Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties.....	5
Figure 3. Index Arrest Rate in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties	6
Figure 4. Drug Arrests in Cumberland County.....	7
Figure 5. Drug Arrest Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties.....	8
Figure 6. Cannabis Seized in Cumberland County	9
Figure 7. Cocaine and Methamphetamine Seized in Cumberland County.....	10
Figure 8. Felony and Misdemeanor Filings in Cumberland County.....	12
Figure 9. Felony Filing Rates in Cumberland County and Other Rural Counties	13
Figure 10. Juvenile Delinquency Petitions Filed and Adjudicated in Cumberland County	14
Figure 11. Sentences Imposed on Felons Convicted in Cumberland County	15
Figure 12. IDOC New Court Commitments from Cumberland County, by Offense Type	16
Figure 13. Juvenile Court Commitments to the IDOC Juvenile Division from Cumberland County.....	17
Figure 14. Total Active Adult and Juvenile Probation Cases in Cumberland County	18
Figure 15. Average Daily Population of the Cumberland County Jail	19
Figure 16. Average Daily Jail Population Rates, Cumberland and Other Rural Counties.....	20
Figure 17. Reported and Verified Cases of Substance-Exposed Infants in Cumberland County.....	21
Figure 18. Reported and Verified Cases of Child Abuse and Neglect in Cumberland County.....	22
Figure 19. Rate of Verified Cases of Child Abuse and Neglect, Cumberland and Other Rural Counties	23

FOREWORD

The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority is a state agency created in 1983 to promote community safety by providing public policymakers, criminal justice professionals and others with information, tools and technology needed to make effective decisions that improve the quality of criminal justice in Illinois. The Authority provides an objective system-wide forum for identifying critical problems in criminal justice, developing coordinated and cost-effective strategies, and implementing and evaluating solutions to those problems. The specific powers and duties of the Authority are delineated in the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act (Illinois Compiled Statutes, Ch. 20, Sec. 393/7). Two of the Authority's many responsibilities are serving as a clearinghouse of information and research on criminal justice and undertaking research studies to improve the administration of criminal justice.

Since 1989, the Authority's Research and Analysis Unit has received funds under the federal Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988 to document the extent and nature of drug and violent crime in Illinois and the criminal justice system's response to these offenses. As a result of these efforts, the Authority has amassed a large amount of data measuring the extent and nature of drug and violent crime in Illinois and the impact these crimes have had on the criminal justice system. To put this information into the hands of Illinois' criminal justice policymakers in a useful summary format, the Authority's Research and Analysis Unit has developed profiles of the criminal justice system for each county in Illinois. In 1994, the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority produced a series of reports detailing criminal justice system activity levels for each of Illinois' 102 counties. As a result of the positive response by local criminal justice officials, in 1996 the Authority updated and expanded the scope of these reports to reflect current criminal and juvenile justice activity. It is hoped that these 2004 updated reports will be as valuable, if not more, than the original versions. In addition to providing policymakers with an overview of activities across the components of the justice system in their county (law enforcement, courts, and corrections), the profiles also provide perspective by including trends experienced in counties with similar population sizes.

While the data presented in this report are by no means inclusive of all indicators, they do provide a general overview of crime and the criminal justice system's response. In addition, these data are readily available and consistently defined through existing statewide data collection mechanisms.

The information presented in this profile has been provided to the Authority by a number of state agencies, specifically: the Illinois State Police, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, the Illinois Department of Corrections, and the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services. The support and cooperation of these agencies and their staffs have helped make this report an informative and timely source of information on the activities of the criminal justice system in Illinois.



The Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority's
Web-based clearinghouse of criminal justice data available at:

<http://www.icjia.state.il.us>

I. Introduction

Cumberland County, located in east central Illinois, covers an area of 346 square miles and had a 2003 population of 11,063, according to estimates by the U.S. Department of Commerce's Bureau of the Census. Using these figures, Cumberland County was the 18th smallest county in Illinois geographically, but 15th smallest in terms of population. Combining these two measures, Cumberland County had the 30th lowest population density per square mile among Illinois' 102 counties.

As with the previous reports, information specific to Cumberland County is presented in comparison with similar counties. To provide more useful comparisons, counties have been separated into four types; 1) Cook County, 2) Collar counties, 3) urban counties (outside of Cook and the Collar counties), and 4) rural counties. Because of its size, Cook County is compared to the rest of the state. The Collar counties are the five that border Cook County (DuPage, Lake, Kane, McHenry, and Will). Urban and rural counties are defined by whether or not they lay within a Metropolitan Statistical Area (MSA) (Appendix 1, page 24). Based on these definitions, there are 36 counties in Illinois that are part of a MSA (Cook, Collar, and urban counties) and 66 counties that are not part of a MSA (in other words, rural).

Recent changes to the standard definitions of MSAs have affected the classification of several Illinois counties. The United States Office of Management and Budget (OMB) defines metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas according to published standards that are applied to Census Bureau data. The general concept of a metropolitan or micropolitan statistical area is that of a core area containing a substantial population nucleus, together with adjacent communities having a high degree of economic and social integration with that core. Currently defined metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas are based on the application of 2000 standards to 2000 decennial census data and were announced by OMB on June 6, 2003.

Standard definitions of metropolitan areas have changed over time. The term "metropolitan area" (MA) was adopted in 1990 and referred collectively to metropolitan statistical areas (MSAs), consolidated metropolitan statistical areas (CMSAs), and primary metropolitan statistical areas (PMSAs). More recently, the term "core based statistical area" (CBSA) became effective in 2000 and refers collectively to metropolitan and micropolitan statistical areas.

The 2000 standards require that each CBSA must contain at least one urban area of 10,000 or more population. Each metropolitan statistical area must have at least one urbanized area of 50,000 or more inhabitants. Each micropolitan statistical area must have at least one urban cluster of at least 10,000 but less than 50,000 population.

Under the standards, the county (or counties) in which at least 50 percent of the population resides within urban areas of 10,000 or more population, or that contain at least 5,000 people residing within a single urban area of 10,000 or more population, is identified as a "central county" (counties). Additional "outlying counties" are included in the CBSA if they meet specified requirements regarding residents commuting to or from the central counties.

Changes in the definitions of these statistical areas since the 1950 census have consisted chiefly of: 1) the recognition of new areas as they reached the minimum required city or urbanized area population, and 2) the addition of counties to existing areas due to new decennial census data. In some instances, formerly separate areas have been merged, components of an area have been transferred from one area to another, or components have been dropped from an area. The large majority of changes have taken place on the basis of decennial census data.

Because of these historical changes in geographic definitions, users must be cautious in comparing data for these statistical areas from different dates. For more information, contact the Population Distribution Branch at (301) 763-2419 (U. S. Census Bureau).

Based on these characteristics, Cumberland County is one of Illinois' 66 rural counties. Throughout this report, the criminal justice activity trends experienced in Cumberland County will be compared to those trends experienced in the other rural counties. This comparison will be realized through the calculation of rates for the activities being analyzed, with the number per 100,000 population the format for all the rates.

II. Law Enforcement Activities in Cumberland County

One of the most commonly used indicators of the level of crime in a particular jurisdiction is the number of *Index offenses* reported to the police. There are eight separate offenses which constitute the Crime Index, including murder, criminal sexual assault, robbery, aggravated assault (violent Index offenses), burglary, theft, motor vehicle theft, and arson (property Index offenses). Although these eight offenses do not account for all crimes reported to the police, they are considered to be the most serious, frequent, pervasive, and consistently defined by different law enforcement agencies.

An indicator of the workload that law enforcement agencies place on other components of the justice system is the number of arrests made by police, including those for violent and property Index offenses and drug offenses. Unlike offenses, which are what police must respond to, arrests represent those offenders who may eventually be processed through other components of the justice system, including the courts, county jails, and state and local correctional programs.

In addition to local law enforcement agencies, the Southeastern Illinois Drug Task Force (SEIDTF) also serves Cumberland County. Throughout most of the period analyzed, there were 21 Metropolitan Enforcement Group (MEGs) and task forces operating in Illinois. A county is considered to be served by a MEG or task force if at least one law enforcement agency within that county participated in the MEG or task force either by providing personnel or financial resources.


To learn more about the drug enforcement activities of the Southeastern Illinois Drug Task Force and Illinois' other MEGs and task forces, profiles of each of the units were developed by the Authority's Research and Analysis Unit and are available through the Authority's Criminal Justice Information Clearinghouse or can be downloaded from the Authority's Website at WWW.icjia.state.il.us.

Violent Index Offenses Reported to the Police in Cumberland County

The number of violent Index offenses reported to the police decreased in Cumberland County between 1994 and 2003, from 29 to 28. As in previous years and in most other counties, aggravated assault offenses accounted for the majority (97 percent) of violent Index offenses reported in Cumberland County in 2003.

Between 1994 and 2003, the violent Index offense rate in Cumberland County decreased 4 percent, from 263 to 253 offenses per 100,000 population (Figure 1). Similarly, during that same period, the violent Index offense rate in the other rural counties also decreased 20 percent, from 434 to 347 offenses per 100,000 population. The 2003 violent Index offense rate in Cumberland County was 27 percent lower than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 1



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois State Police and U.S. Census Bureau data


Property Index Offenses Reported to the Police in Cumberland County

Between 1994 and 2003, the number of property Index offenses reported to the police in Cumberland County decreased 3 percent, from 168 to 163. Thefts accounted for 71 percent of all property Index offenses reported in Cumberland County during 2003.

Between 1994 and 2003, the property Index offense rate in Cumberland County decreased 3 percent, from 1,522 to 1,473 offenses per 100,000 population (Figure 2). The property Index offense rate in the other rural counties decreased 6 percent, from 2,528 to 2,368 offenses per 100,000 population. In 2003, the property Index offense rate in Cumberland County was 38 percent lower than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 2

Total Property Index Offense Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois State Police and U.S. Census Bureau data


Index Arrests by Cumberland County Law Enforcement Agencies

Between 1994 and 2003, arrests for total Index offenses by law enforcement agencies in Cumberland County increased 2 percent, from 54 to 55. The majority of Index arrests were for property Index offenses. Of the 55 Index arrests made in Cumberland County during 2003, 45 percent were for violent Index crimes and 55 percent were for property Index crimes. Arrests for theft and aggravated assault accounted for the majority of property and violent Index arrests in Cumberland County during 2003. Aggravated assault accounted for all violent Index arrests, while thefts accounted for 63 percent of all property Index arrests.

Between 1994 and 2003, the Index arrest rate in Cumberland County increased 2 percent, from 489 to 497 arrests per 100,000 population (Figure 3). During the same period, the Index arrest rate in the other rural counties decreased 16 percent, from 784 to 658 arrests per 100,000 population. In 2003, the Index arrest rate in Cumberland County was 24 percent lower than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 3

Index Arrest Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois State Police and U.S. Census Bureau data


Drug Offense Arrests in Cumberland County

Between 1994 and 2003, arrests for total drug offenses (including violations of Illinois' Cannabis Control Act, Controlled Substances Act, Drug Paraphernalia Control Act, and the Hypodermic Syringes and Needles Act) increased more than five-fold in Cumberland County, from six to 30 (Figure 4). Drug Paraphernalia Control Act violations accounted for the majority of the increase in total drug arrests, increasing from zero arrests in 1994 to 39 in 2003, or in other words, 45 percent of all drugs arrests in 2003.

Throughout the period analyzed, arrests for violations of Illinois' Cannabis Control Act (which prohibits the possession, sale, and cultivation of cannabis) in Cumberland County have consistently out-numbered arrests for violations of the Controlled Substances Act (which prohibits the possession, sale, distribution, or manufacture of all other illegal drugs such as cocaine and opiates). Between 1994 and 2003, the number of arrests for violations of the Cannabis Control Act in Cumberland County increased five-fold, from six to 30, while the number of reported arrests for violations of the Controlled Substances Act increased from zero to 13, during the same period (Figure 4).

Figure 4

Drug Arrests in Cumberland County




Source: Illinois State Police

Between 1994 and 2003, the arrest rate for all drug law violations in Cumberland County drastically increased, from 54 to 786 per 100,000 population (Figure 5). The total drug arrest rate in the other rural counties also more than tripled between 1994 and 2003, from 182 to 631 per 100,000 population. In 2003, the drug arrest rate in Cumberland County was 25 percent higher than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 5

Drug Arrest Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois State Police and U.S. Census Bureau data


Drugs Seized in Cumberland County

Drugs seized by law enforcement agencies are another indicator of the extent and nature of the illegal drug trade in a jurisdiction. When illegal drugs are seized by law enforcement agencies in Illinois, they are submitted to a crime lab for analysis. Most agencies submit drugs to one of the Illinois State Police crime labs. These labs record the quantity of drugs submitted from each county. This section discusses the quantities of illegal drugs seized and submitted to the Illinois State Police from law enforcement agencies in Cumberland County.

Cannabis Seized in Cumberland County

Cannabis accounts for the majority of drugs seized in Cumberland County and in most Illinois jurisdictions. Although there were large variations in the number of grams of cannabis seized between 1994 and 2003, the quantity of cannabis seized in Cumberland County decreased dramatically, from 1,529 grams in 1994 to 270 grams in 2003 (Figure 6).

Figure 6



Source: Illinois State Police

During the period between 1994 and 2003, the quantity of cannabis seized in the other rural counties decreased 55 percent, from 606,620 grams to 272,373 grams. In 2003, Cumberland County had a cannabis seizure rate of 2,440 grams per 100,000 population, compared to a rate of 16,216 grams per 100,000 population in the other rural counties.


Cocaine and Methamphetamine Seized in Cumberland County

During the past decade, it is clear that methamphetamine “activity” in the state has increased dramatically and has become a significant drug problem in many jurisdictions. Further, the extent to which law enforcement agencies are encountering methamphetamine has also dispersed across a large area of the state, with most of this being fueled by activities taking place in Illinois’ rural jurisdictions.

The quantity of cocaine seized in Cumberland County decreased between 1994 and 2003 (Figure 7). Between 1994 and 2003, a total of 33 grams of cocaine were seized in Cumberland County. The quantity of methamphetamine seized in Cumberland County drastically increased, from one gram in 1994 to 38 grams in 2003 (Figure 7). In 2003, methamphetamine accounted for 11 percent of the total drugs seized in Cumberland County, second only to the proportion of cannabis seized (78 percent) during the same time period.

Figure 7

Cocaine* and Methamphetamine Seized in Cumberland County



Source: Illinois State Police

*Cocaine includes powder and crack cocaine.

The quantity of cocaine seized in the other rural counties dramatically decreased (79 percent) during the period analyzed, from 71,273 grams in 1994 to 15,168 grams in 2003. While the quantity of methamphetamine increased more than four-fold, from 2,618 grams in 1994 to 13,180 grams in 2003. In 2003, 18 grams of cocaine per 100,000 population were seized in Cumberland County, dramatically lower than the 903 grams of cocaine per 100,000 population seized in the other rural counties. During the same period, 340 grams of methamphetamine per 100,000 population were seized in Cumberland County, 56 percent lower than the 785 grams of methamphetamine per 100,000 population seized in the other rural counties.

III. Adult and Juvenile Court Activity in Cumberland County

Although Illinois has one of the best court reporting systems in the country, the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts only collects information regarding the aggregate number of court filings, dispositions, and probation caseloads. Currently, there are no statewide data available on court filings, dispositions, and convictions by offense type. However, detailed offense data are available from the Illinois Department of Corrections for those convicted felons sentenced to prison.

Trends in the number of delinquency petitions filed and adjudicated in juvenile court are also presented. Illinois statutes define delinquency offenses as those committed by someone younger than 17 years old that would be considered crimes if committed by someone 17 years of age or older.


In Illinois, county probation departments are overseen by the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts' Probation Division. This section also presents data on active misdemeanor and felony adult caseloads and the number of juveniles under the supervision of the probation departments in Cumberland County and the other rural counties.

Misdemeanor and Felony Filings in Cumberland County

The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts reports data on criminal court cases in two categories: misdemeanors and felonies. After screening a case and deciding it warrants further action, the state's attorney must file formal charges in court. Misdemeanor cases are less serious than felonies and can be punished by a probation sentence of one year or less and less than one year of incarceration. Felony cases, on the other hand, are more serious and can be punished by a probation term up to four years and incarceration for more than one year. When comparing the number of filings across time and across counties, it should be noted that differences in reporting practices exist. For example, when two or more defendants are involved in a single case, some state's attorneys file a single case charging all defendants, while others file a separate case for each suspect.

In 2003, felony and misdemeanor court filings accounted for 11 percent of all filings in Cumberland County's courts (civil, traffic, family, and other). Between 1994 and 2003, the number of felony filings in Cumberland County more than tripled, from 48 to 149 (Figure 8). During the same period, misdemeanor filings more than doubled, from 110 in 1994 to 281 in 2003. In 2003, misdemeanor filings out-numbered felony filings by nearly two to one.

Figure 8




Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

Between 1994 and 2003, the felony-filing rate in Cumberland County more than tripled, from 435 to 1,347 cases per 100,000 population (Figure 9). The felony-filing rate in the other rural counties increased 44 percent during this period, from 616 to 886 cases per 100,000 population. In 2003, the felony-filing rate in Cumberland County was 52 percent higher than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 9

Felony Filing Rates in Cumberland and Other Rural Counties




Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts and U.S. Census Bureau data

Illinois’ juvenile court system differs in several key aspects from the adult criminal court system. One aspect revolves around the difference in treatment between juveniles and adults: the juvenile court process is somewhat less formal and adversarial; authorities are given much more latitude in determining the proper response to each case. Traditionally, the terminology used to describe juvenile proceedings has been less harsh than that used for the adult criminal process. For example, Illinois’ juvenile courts accept “petitions of delinquency” rather than misdemeanor or felony criminal complaints. However, pursuant to the Juvenile court Reform Act of 1998, criminal court terms, such as “trial” and “sentencing,” have replaced the less harsh “adjudicatory hearing” and “dispositional hearing” terms.

Between 1994 and 2003, the number of juvenile delinquency petition filed in Cumberland County drastically increased, from zero to 32 (Figure 10). 2001 was the only year during the period analyzed that any juveniles named in delinquency petitions were adjudicated.

Figure 10

Juvenile Delinquency Petitions Filed and Adjudicated in Cumberland County*



Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts


Between 1994 and 2003, the delinquency petition-filing rate in Cumberland County drastically increased, from zero to 2,506 per 100,000 juveniles. During the same period, the delinquency petition-filing rate in the other rural counties increased 12 percent, from 2,026 to 2,264 petitions per 100,000 juveniles.

Anyone convicted of a felony in Illinois can be sentenced either to prison or probation, or receive a conditional discharge. A number of factors influence the type and length of sentence imposed on convicted felons, including the severity of the crime, the offender’s criminal and social history, safety of the community, and legislation affecting certain types of offenses. For some types of convictions a sentence to prison is required by state statute.

Between 1994 and 2003, the number of offenders convicted of a felony and sentenced in Cumberland County increased 65 percent, from 17 to 28 (Figure 11). The number of convicted felons sentenced to probation during this period increased 50 percent, from eight to 11, while the number of convicted felons sentenced to prison increased 33 percent, from nine in 1994 to 11 in 2003. Despite these increases, the proportion of felony probation sentences decreased from 47 percent in 1994 to 43 percent in 2003. Likewise, the proportion of felons sentenced to prison decreased from 53 percent in 1994 to 43 percent in 2003. In 2003, 14 percent of convicted felons were sentenced to something other than prison or probation.

Figure 11

**Sentences Imposed on Felons
Convicted in Cumberland County**




Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

Adult Prison Admissions from Cumberland County

Between State Fiscal Years (SFYs) 1994 and 2004, the number of admissions to the Illinois Department of Corrections' Adult Division from Cumberland County nearly quadrupled from nine to 32 (Figure 12). During this period, the number of violent, drug, and property offender admissions increased. Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, the number of violent offender admissions increased from one to six, while the number of property offender admissions increased slightly, from five to six and the number of drug offender admissions increased from zero to 16.

Figure 12

IDOC New Court Commitment from Cumberland County, by Offense Type



Source: Illinois Department of Corrections


In SFY 2004, violent offenders accounted for 19 percent all admissions from Cumberland County, compared to 11 percent in SFY 1994, while the proportion accounted for by property offenders decreased from 56 percent in SFY 1994 to 19 percent in SFY 2004. During the period analyzed, drug offenders increased from zero percent to 50 percent of all admissions from Cumberland County.

Juvenile Court Commitments to the Illinois Department of Corrections

The Illinois Department of Corrections' Juvenile Division provides long-term custody for youths, 13 to 17 years old, who have been found delinquent by the juvenile court and committed to the IDOC. Youths may remain in an IDOC juvenile facility until they are 21. Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, the number of court commitment to the IDOC's Juvenile Division from Cumberland County decreased from two to zero (Figure 13).

Figure 13

Juvenile Court Commitments to the IDOC Juvenile Division from Cumberland County




Source: Illinois Department of Corrections

In SFY 2004, Cumberland County had no commitments to the IDOC's Juvenile Division, while the other rural counties had a commitment rate of 231 commitments per 100,000 juveniles.

Adult and Juvenile Probation Caseloads in Cumberland County

Between December 31, 1994 and December 31, 2003, the number of active adult probation cases in Cumberland County more than tripled, from 16 percent to 53 percent (Figure 13). In 2003, felony offenders accounted for 57 percent of Cumberland County's active adult probation caseload. Between 1994 and 2003, the number of juveniles supervised by the Cumberland County Juvenile Probation Department doubled, from five to ten. By comparison, the number of active adult probation cases in the other rural counties increased 39 percent between 1994 and 2003, while the juvenile probation caseloads increased less than 1 percent.

Figure 14



Source: Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts

The active adult probation caseload rate per 100,000 population in Cumberland County more than tripled between 1994 and 2003, from 145 to 479 cases per 100,000 population. During that same period, the active adult probation caseload rate increased 40 percent in the other rural counties, from 748 to 1,047 cases per 100,000 population. In 2003, the active adult probation caseload rate in Cumberland County was 54 percent lower than the rate in the other rural counties.

IV. Jail Populations in Cumberland County

Jail data in Illinois are collected by the Illinois Department of Corrections' Bureau of Inspections and Audits. As with court data, data are not available detailing the specific offenses for which offenders were held in county jails. In addition, between SFYs 1992 and 1994, the IDOC did not collect Illinois jail population data. Data presented for SFY 1994 were estimated by the Authority using the reported SFY's 1991 and 1995 data.


Average Daily Population of the Cumberland County Jail

The Cumberland County Jail was one of 91 county jails in operation in Illinois during SFY 2003. There are 11 counties that did not operate a jail of their own; they rely on other counties to house their pretrial detainees and sentenced offenders at either a per-diem or contracted rate.

Between SFY's 1994 and 2003, the average daily population of the Cumberland County Jail increased more than six-fold, from two to 13 inmates (Figure 14). During this period, pretrial detainees (those individuals who have been arrested for a crime and are awaiting trial) accounted for a decreased percentage of the average daily population; from 91 percent in SFY 1994 to 82 percent in SFY 2003. On the other hand, sentenced offenders (those offenders who have been convicted and sentenced to the county jail) accounted for an increased percentage; 9 percent in SFY 1994, compared to 18 percent in SFY 2003.

Figure 15

Average Daily Population of the Cumberland County Jail




Source: Illinois Department of Corrections

*Information estimated by ICJIA
 **Jail not in operation 1995-1997

Between State Fiscal Years 1994 and 2003, the average daily jail population rate in Cumberland County increased more than six-fold, from 18 to 119 per 100,000 population (Figure 15). During this same period, the average daily jail population rate in the other rural counties increased 70 percent, from 88 to 150 per 100,000 population. In SFY 2003, the Cumberland County Jail had an average daily jail population rate 21 percent lower than the rate in the other rural counties.

Figure 16

**Average Daily Jail Population Rates,
Cumberland and Other Rural Counties**



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois Department of Corrections and U.S. Census Bureau data

* Information estimated by ICJIA
 **Jail not in operation 1995-1997

V. Indicators of Child Abuse and Neglect in Cumberland County


Substance-Exposed Infants in Cumberland County

Illinois continues to experience the effects of prenatal substance abuse. In Illinois, if a baby is born and thought to have been exposed to illegal substances or alcohol, either through observation by physicians or toxicology tests, the case is reported to the Illinois Department of Children and Family Services (DCFS). These cases are then investigated by DCFS to verify the child's prenatal exposure to either alcohol or illegal substances. Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, 96 of Illinois' 102 counties reported at least one case of a substance-exposed infant.

Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, there were four cases of substance-exposed infants reported in Cumberland County; one of which was verified (Figure 17).

Figure 17

Substance-Exposed Infants, Reported and Verified Cases in Cumberland County



Source: Illinois Department of Children and Family Services


Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, the number of reported cases of substance-exposed infants in the other rural counties increased 24 percent, from 82 to 102, while the number of verified cases of substance-exposed infants increased 43 percent, from 44 to 63.

Child Abuse and Neglect Cases Reported and Verified in Cumberland County

Recent research on the relationship between maltreatment of youth and delinquency has revealed an important distinction between child maltreatment and adolescent maltreatment. Using data from the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention's Program of Research on the Causes and Correlates of Delinquency, researchers have found that youth that were abused during childhood (12 years of age or less) were no more likely to become delinquent than youth who were not abused, but youth who were abused during adolescence (after age 12) were significantly more likely to be delinquent.¹ While prior child abuse may not be linked to juvenile delinquency, studies examining prior child abuse have shown that childhood victimization may be linked to other poor outcomes in youth, including low academic achievement, teenage parenthood (particularly for females), drug use, and symptoms of mental illness.² Additionally, research examining adolescent victimization (including physical and sexual assaults) and adult outcomes has found a correlation between previous victimization and substance abuse, depression, and post-traumatic stress disorder in adulthood.³ In Illinois, DCFS investigates reported cases of child abuse.

Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, the number of child abuse and neglect cases reported in Cumberland County decreased 6 percent, from 144 to 136 (Figure 17). During that same period, 398 cases, or 30 percent of all cases reported, were verified by a DCFS investigation. Verified cases of child abuse and neglect in Cumberland County decreased 37 percent between SFY's 1994 and 2004, from 57 to 36.

Figure 18



Source: Illinois Department of Children and Family Services


¹ Thornberry, Terence P., David Huizinga and Rolf Loeber. 2004. "The Causes and Correlates Studies: Findings and Policy Implications." *Juvenile Justice Journal*. 9:1. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

² Kelly, B., Thornberry, T. and Smith, C. 1997. "In the Wake of Childhood Maltreatment." *Juvenile Justice Bulletin*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

³ Menard, S. 2002. "Short and Long-Term Consequences of Adolescent Victimization." *Youth Research Bulletin*. Washington, D.C.: U.S. Government Printing Office.

Between SFY's 1994 and 2004, the rate of verified cases of child abuse and neglect in Cumberland County decreased 35 percent, from 1,848 to 1,210 per 100,000 juveniles (Figure 18). During the same period, the rate of verified child abuse and neglect cases decreased 14 percent in the other rural counties, from 1,910 to 1,638 per 100,000 juveniles. In SFY 2004, the rate of verified cases of child abuse and neglect in Cumberland County was 26 percent lower than in the other rural counties.

Figure 19



Source: ICJIA calculation using Illinois Department of Children and Family Services and U.S. Census Bureau data

VII. Bibliography

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts, *Annual Report to the Supreme Court of Illinois*. 1994 through 2003 reports, Springfield, Illinois.

Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts' Probation Division, *Statewide Probation Data Report*. 1994 through 2003 reports, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Department of Children and Family Services, *Child Abuse/Neglect Statistics Report*. State Fiscal Years 1994 through 2004 reports, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Department of Corrections. *Jail and Detention Statistics and Information* . State Fiscal Years 1995 through 2003 reports, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois Department of Corrections. Research and Planning Division, State Fiscal Years 1994 through 2004 Springfield, Illinois.

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, *Illinois Population Estimates*. 1994-2003, Washington, D.C.

Illinois State Police, *Crime in Illinois*. 1994 through 2003 reports, Springfield, Illinois.

Illinois State Police, *Drug Statistics Report*. Monthly reports, January 1994 through December 2003, Springfield, Illinois.



Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority

120 S. Riverside Plaza, Suite 1016
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Telephone: 312-793-8550
TDD: 312-793-4170
Fax: 312-793-8422
www.icjia.state.il.us



Rod R. Blagojevich, Governor
Sheldon Sorosky, Chairman
Lori G. Levin, Executive Director