

Uniform Notice for Funding Opportunity (NOFO)
 Adult Redeploy Illinois – SFY18 Planning and Implementation Grants
September 2017

	Data Field	
1.	Awarding Agency Name:	Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
2.	Agency Contact:	Adriana Perez, Program Manager Adult Redeploy Illinois Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority 300 West Adams, Suite 200 Chicago, IL 60606 Adriana.Perez@Illinois.gov 312-793-8406
3.	Announcement Type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Initial announcement <input type="checkbox"/> Modification of a previous announcement
4.	Type of Assistance Instrument:	Grant
5.	Funding Opportunity Number:	1562-364
6.	Funding Opportunity Title:	Adult Redeploy Illinois – SFY18 Planning and Implementation Grants
7.	CSFA Number:	546-00-1564
8.	CSFA Popular Name:	Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) SFY18
9.	CFDA Number(s):	N/A
10.	Anticipated Number of Awards:	10 - 12
11.	Estimated Total Program Funding:	\$500,000
12.	Award Range	Category 1: Maximum \$30,000 Category 2: No maximum
13.	Source of Funding:	<input type="checkbox"/> Federal or Federal pass-through <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> State <input type="checkbox"/> Private / other funding Mark all that apply
14.	Cost Sharing or Matching Requirement:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
15.	Indirect Costs Allowed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
	Restrictions on Indirect Costs	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, provide the citation governing the restriction:

16.	Posted Date:	September 13, 2017
17.	Closing Date for Applications:	11:59 p.m., October 30, 2017
18.	Technical Assistance Session:	<p>Session Offered: X Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Session Mandatory: X Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No</p> <p>Applicants must view and certify that that they have watched the recorded webinar, which will be available beginning on September 22, 2017, at 1:30 p.m.</p> <p>View the webinar at: https://gata.icjia.cloud/</p>

A. Program Description

Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) was established by the Illinois Crime Reduction Act of 2009 (Public Act 96-0761) to “increase public safety and encourage the successful local supervision of eligible offenders and their reintegration into the locality.” Under the Crime Reduction Act, ARI provides financial incentives (grants) to counties, groups of counties, and judicial circuits to increase local-level evidence-based programming for non-violent offenders. In exchange for the funding, jurisdictions agree to reduce the number of people they send to the Illinois Department of Corrections (IDOC), with penalties if they do not meet the reduction goal. Research has shown that community-based alternatives to incarceration, such as problem-solving courts, can reduce recidivism, decrease costs to taxpayers, increase public safety, and reintegrate offenders back into society.

The Crime Reduction Act calls for the following as part of the ARI program:

- Establishment of sites to increase access to community-based services and decrease commitments to IDOC. Counties or judicial circuits will be able to apply for grant funding for assistance in planning/developing and implementing local program plans that specify how to reduce commitments of non-violent offenders to prison and to provide supervision and community-based services for such individuals.
- An interagency Adult Redeploy Illinois Oversight Board (ARIOB) to oversee the implementation of ARI and report to the Governor and the General Assembly annually on its progress, co-chaired by the Director of IDOC and the Secretary of Illinois Department of Human Services (IDHS).
- Adoption of a standard plan template for jurisdictions to complete prior to implementing ARI. A jurisdiction shall use the standard plan template in developing its local plan for ARI implementation. The local plan must be approved by ARIOB as part of the funding process.
- A site to negotiate an agreement with the ARIOB to reduce by 25% the number of IDOC commitments from their ARI-eligible target population (overall or a specified subset), based on the average number of commitments of the three previous calendar years from that target population.
- A penalty to be assessed to a site that fails to meet its reduction goal and is unable to negotiate a corrective action plan with the ARIOB.
- A jurisdiction to receive payments from the state to offset the costs of providing community-based services to non-violent offenders.

- Establishment of a performance measurement system and evaluation process to assess the effectiveness of the program and report back to the Governor and General Assembly on an annual basis.

ARI is housed at the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority (ICJIA), a state agency dedicated to improving the administration of criminal justice. ICJIA brings together key leaders from the justice system and the public to identify critical issues facing the criminal justice system in Illinois, and to propose and evaluate policies, programs, and legislation that address those issues. The statutory responsibilities of ICJIA fit into four areas: grants administration; research and analysis; policy and planning; and information systems and technology.

B. Authorizing Statutes

Section 7(k) of the Illinois Criminal Justice Information Act (20 ILCS 3930/7(k)) establishes ICJIA as the agency “to apply for, receive, establish priorities for, allocate, disburse and spend grants of funds that are made available...from the United States pursuant to the federal Crime Control Act of 1973, as amended, and similar federal legislation, and to enter into agreements with the United States government to further the purposes of this Act, or as may be required as a condition of obtaining federal funds.”

Section 1560 of the Illinois Administrative Code (20 Ill. Admin. Code, Section 1560.10) establishes ICJIA as the agency to “exercise its responsibility to *apply for, receive, establish priorities for, allocate, disburse and spend grant funds that are made available by private sources* [20 ILCS 3930/7(k)], *to receive, expend and account for such funds of the State of Illinois as may be made available to further the purposes of the Act* [20 ILCS 3930/7(1)], *to enter into contracts and to cooperate with units of general local government or combinations of such units, State agencies, and criminal justice system agencies of other states for the purpose of carrying out the duties of ICJIA imposed by the Act* [20 ILCS 3930/7(m)]...”

The agency must comply with all applicable provisions of state and federal laws and regulations pertaining to nondiscrimination, sexual harassment and equal employment opportunity, including, but not limited to: The Illinois Human Rights Act (775 ILCS 5/1-101 et seq.), The Public Works Employment Discrimination Act (775 ILCS 10/1 et seq), The United States Civil Rights Act of 1964 (as amended) (42 USC 2000a-and 2000H-6), Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 (29 USC 794), The Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990 (42 USC 12101 et seq.), and The Age Discrimination Act (42 USC 6101 et seq.).

ARI's enabling statute, the Crime Reduction Act (730 ILCS 190/), allows for financial incentives to be provided to local jurisdictions for programs that allow diversion of non-violent offenders from state prisons by providing community-based services and supervision through the ARI program. Pursuant to the Crime Reduction Act, the General Assembly has obligated funds for the ARI program to provide financial incentives to local jurisdictions through ICJIA on behalf of the ARIOB. Funds will be made available pursuant to ICJIA's rules entitled "Operating Procedures for the Administration of Non-Federal Funds" (20 Illinois Administrative Code 1560 et seq.) and pursuant to the ARIOB designating the Implementing Agency to receive funds for the purpose of activities that plan for or implement a local ARI program.

ARI funds for the current State fiscal year became available through Public Act 100-0021 (Article 27, Section 10) in which \$8,174,700, or so much thereof as may be necessary, was appropriated from the General Revenue Fund to ICJIA for administrative costs, awards and grants for Adult Redeploy and Diversion Programs.

C. Program-Specific Information

Background

Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) was created by the Crime Reduction Act (Public Act 96-0761) as part of a package of criminal justice reform measures passed in 2009. The Crime Reduction Act established a framework for modernizing the Illinois criminal justice system by requiring the use of validated assessments; evidence-based practices; and performance measurement and evaluation.

The underlying principles of the Crime Reduction Act were:

- The current criminal justice system is not as effective as it might be, and the current prison population growth is fiscally unsustainable.
- Being smart on crime involves understanding why people commit crimes and addressing the needs underlying criminal behavior.
- It is less expensive and more effective to treat non-violent offenders in their communities, reserving prison space for violent criminals.

In addition to mandated information-sharing across the criminal justice system, the Crime Reduction Act called for the adoption of a standardized validated assessment system—Risk, Assets, and Needs Assessment, or RANA. Companion legislation created the Illinois Sentencing Policy Advisory Council to collect and analyze data on sentencing policies and practices to determine their outcomes and system-wide fiscal impact.

ARI is modeled after the successful juvenile Redeploy Illinois program operating since 2005. ARI is based on the “performance incentive funding” (PIF) best practice, intended to align fiscal and operational responsibility for non-violent offenders at the local level to produce better public safety at a lower cost. ARI also draws on concepts of justice reinvestment, such as using data to implement strategies that drive down corrections costs and free up dollars for investment in community-based programs addressing recidivism.

The goals of ARI are to:

- Reduce crime and recidivism in a way that is cost efficient for taxpayers.
- Provide financial incentives to counties or judicial circuits to create effective local-level evidence-based services.
- Encourage the successful local supervision of eligible offenders and their reintegration into the locality.
- Perform rigorous data collection and analysis to assess the outcomes of the programs.

Since program inception, the ARI network has grown from 10 pilot sites in 10 counties, to 20 sites covering 39 counties. A map is included as *Appendix A*.

Target Population

Per the Crime Reduction Act, ARI funds can only be used to supervise and serve “offenders who do not fall under the definition of violent offenders” as defined by the Rights of Crime Victim and Witnesses Act.

Additionally, ARI funds can only be used to serve prison-bound individuals whose diversion to community-based programs represents actual and immediate costs avoided by the state. With the goal to ensure that intensive interventions provided with ARI funds were targeted to those higher risk clients who can benefit the most from them and for whom they are most cost-effective, the ARIOB established that at least 80% of those served at each ARI site must score moderate to high risk according to the Level of Service Inventory-Revised (LSI-R) instrument.

Key Components

Each ARI site is unique, reflecting the program’s emphasis on local control and design. Some sites use ARI funds to create or expand problem-solving (e.g., drug, mental health, veterans) courts; others operate intensive supervision programs combined with services that address criminogenic needs. Using the principles of the Risk-Need-Responsivity (RNR) model, ARI must invest in practices and programs that ensure ARI clients are given

validated assessments of their risk to re-offend, needs, and assets; matched with the appropriate type, level, and intensity of treatment services; and provided with supportive services that leverage strengths and reduce barriers to compliance and successful completion.

All sites must incorporate the ARI key components, including:

- Validated assessment of risks, needs, and assets.
- Evidence-based practices.
- Performance measurement and evaluation.

Cost-effectiveness

To demonstrate cost-effectiveness and support the sustainability of the program, ARI-funded interventions must represent substantial savings from the cost of incarceration in state prisons.

Program Design

Per the Crime Reduction Act, “Each county or circuit participating in the Adult Redeploy Illinois program shall create a local plan describing how it will protect public safety and reduce the county or circuit’s utilization of incarceration in State facilities or local county jails by the creation or expansion of individualized services or programs.”

ARI grants funds to units of local government (counties), which can apply individually or as groups of counties or circuits. To request an ARI implementation grant, a jurisdiction must first complete a local plan using the standard plan template. Local plans must provide a detailed account of how jurisdictions intend to operate to reduce non-violent admissions to prison. The plan must include a description of the program model, roles of the various stakeholders, efforts to support the successful reintegration of offenders through a community involvement component (e.g., community service, restorative justice board), and a commitment to reduce target population prison admissions 25% from the prior three year’s average.

ARI offers planning grants for jurisdictions to convene stakeholders, review local data, and develop a local plan. To gain commitment and involvement from each of the local stakeholders that influence incarceration decisions, those engaged in planning processes must represent the local criminal justice court system (e.g., judiciary, prosecution, defense, probation) and the community (e.g., treatment providers, social services, business).

In the preparation of their local plan, jurisdictions review localized research and data analysis to identify the target population and associated intervention. These data are available at the ARI website (www.icjia.org/redeploy) and include demographics, offense classes, and offense types related to ARI-eligible non-violent offenders committed to IDOC by jurisdiction. For the purposes of calculating the target population for this solicitation, data for the three-year baseline of ARI-eligible commitments are available (1) for 2014 and 2015 at the ARI website (www.icjia.org/redeploy) at the “Tools” tab under “County-Level Data,” and (2) for 2016 in a separate spreadsheet included with application materials.

Sites must serve prison-bound individuals, employ evidence-based practices, and demonstrate significant cost savings compared to incarceration. Local plans are submitted to and evaluated by the ARIOB for alignment with ARI principles and cost-effectiveness.

Local plans must include full descriptions as to the incorporation of the following:

- *Evidence-based practices:* The applicant should identify the evidence-based practice being proposed, identify and discuss the evidence that shows the practice is effective, discuss the populations for which the practice has been shown to be effective, and show that it is appropriate for the proposed target population.
- *Community involvement:* To support the goal of successful reintegration of participant into the community, applicants must include the development of a community involvement piece in their local plans (e.g., Community Restorative Boards). For an overview of community involvement strategies, see “[Bridges to Justice: A Community Engagement Toolkit for Adult Diversion Programs.](#)”
- *Affordable Care Act:* The Affordable Care Act (ACA) and expanded Medicaid allow for unprecedented access to critical substance abuse and behavioral health services for vulnerable populations, including justice-involved people. If awarded, selected sites will be required to utilize the ACA as much as possible where applicable before utilizing ARI funds for substance abuse treatment and behavioral health services.

Two categories of funding are available through this solicitation, for either the development or implementation of an ARI local plan. Awards resulting from this opportunity will have a projected period of performance of January 1, 2018, to June 30, 2018.

Program Category	Minimum	Maximum
Category 1: Planning Grant	None	\$30,000
Category 2: Implementation Grant	None	None
Totals:	\$500,000	

Category 1: Planning Grants

County applicants may request a planning grant to convene stakeholders, analyze data, and conduct other activities in the design of a local ARI program to divert non-violent offenders from prison to community-based supervision and services. As part of the planning grant process, applicants will be expected to gather and analyze relevant criminal justice system data, including, but not limited to, current services offered, services needed, strategies for service delivery, potential eligible populations, local governance issues, data collection and analysis capabilities, and estimated costs of alternatives to incarceration. The process culminates in the development and submission of a local ARI implementation plan.

Category 2: Implementation Grants

Adult Redeploy Illinois implementation grants can be used by jurisdictions to fund the implementation of local plans to divert non-violent offenders from prison to community-based supervision and services. In exchange for grant funds, jurisdictions must agree to reduce by 25% the number of ARI-eligible prison admissions from defined target populations. In other words, the state will redeploy corrections dollars to local jurisdictions to achieve better outcomes at a lower cost to taxpayers.

Eligible Applicants

Only county units of government are eligible to apply for funds under this notice. A county may submit on behalf of a group of counties or a judicial circuit. Private agencies or not-for-profit organizations are not eligible to apply.

Program Requirements

Category 1: Planning Grant

Multi-disciplinary Planning Committee

Each applicant should identify a multi-disciplinary planning committee representing the criminal justice spectrum (e.g., judiciary, prosecution, defense, probation) and the community (e.g., treatment providers, social services, business) to guide planning. Please list titles, not proper names, of all partners.

Planning Activities

Funds are to be used solely for the purpose of developing a local plan to implement ARI. Uses of planning grant funds may include, but are not limited to:

- Employing an outside facilitator or strategic planning consultant.
- Conducting an outside or in-house data analysis.

- Providing stakeholder training.
- Conducting site visits to observe successful diversion programs.
- Community resource mapping.

Funds may not be used for capital expenditures, renovations or remodeling, or any food and beverage purchases. In addition, ARI funds shall not be used to supplant existing federal, state, county, or locally funded programs.

Local Plan

At the end of the planning grant period, funded jurisdictions must complete and submit a local ARI implementation plan using the standard plan template (available at <http://www.icjia.state.il.us/redeploy/standard-plan.cfm>). Note that funded jurisdictions are under no obligation to implement the plan.

Category 2: Implementation Grants

Only jurisdictions with a completed local plan (using the standard plan template) may apply for implementation funds. Preference will be given to those jurisdictions whose local plans have received prior ARIOB approval. To reflect broad commitment, letters of support from all key and principal stakeholders in the justice system are strongly encouraged.

Multi-disciplinary Steering Committee

Each applicant should identify a multi-disciplinary steering committee representing the criminal justice spectrum (e.g., judiciary, prosecution, defense, probation) and the community (e.g., treatment providers, social services, business), to guide implementation. Please list titles, not proper names, of all partners.

Assessment of Risk, Assets, and Needs

ARI sites must utilize validated assessment instruments to guide supervision and programming decisions.

Evidence-based Practices

Per the Crime Reduction Act, ARI funds must be used to invest in local programs, services, and protocols that have been a promising practice by research to reduce recidivism. Examples of the evidence-based and promising practices are in *Appendix B*.

Performance Measurement

Every ARI site must collect and report performance measurement data quarterly as a condition of funding. The Crime Reduction Act specifically requires the following to be measured: recidivism, rate of revocations, employment rates, education achievement, successful completion of substance abuse treatment programs, and payment of victim restitution. ARI and ICJIA have defined additional performance measures to track these and

other indicators of impact. The list of mandatory data elements to be collected are included in *Appendix C*.

Reduction Goal & Reimbursement Provision

In exchange for the funds, jurisdictions must agree to reduce by 25% the number of offenders committed to IDOC from a defined target population or else face a penalty. The reduction goal is based on the jurisdictions’ average number of commitments over the past three years according to IDOC data. Once granted funds, sites are required to collect data and regularly report on progress. Jurisdictions having difficulty meeting their reduction goals are provided technical assistance. They can propose a corrective action plan to meet an adjusted target or, as a penalty, prepare to reimburse a portion of the grant at the discretion of the ARIOB (reimbursement is capped at \$2,500 for each person short of the goal).

Problem-Solving Court Certification (if applicable)

If the proposed program model for ARI implementation is a problem-solving court (PSC), the jurisdiction must either be certified or in the process of being certified through the Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts. ARI funds can be used to support initial staffing, training and administrative support throughout the certification process; however, no funds can be used to provide services until such time as the PSC is certified and able to enroll participants.

Goals, Objectives, and Performance Metrics

Funded programs will be required to submit quarterly client-level data and performance progress reports to ARI that will minimally include the following information based on the objectives applicant agencies propose in their responses to this solicitation:

Category 1: Planning Grant

Goal: Develop a strategy (local plan) to increase local capacity to provide supervision and services to a target population of non-violent offenders who would otherwise be incarcerated.	
Process Objectives	Performance Measures
Form multi-disciplinary team (MDT) in charge of planning)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Diverse planning committee membership representing criminal justice, treatment, social services, business, community leadership ➤ ___% of meetings in which greater than 75% of MDT members are in attendance
Analyze data on use of prison for non-violent offenders	➤ Submission of report on prison use for non-violent offenders

Assess current community capacity and identify gaps in services	➤ Submission of community capacity and gap analysis report
Perform site visits to learn about other jurisdictions' use of alternatives to incarceration	➤ Number of visits conducted ➤ Number of contacts made with other programs
Submit for ARIOB approval by January 5, 2018 a plan that describes program models, goals and objectives, and describes evidence-based practices to be implemented	➤ Date of submission of plan that meets all ARIOB requirements ➤ Number of letters of support
Outcome Objectives	Performance Measures
Determine whether or not an ARI program will be created, and if so, how.	➤ Submission of detailed local implementation plan, OR ➤ Submission of letter indicating that the grantee will not be implementing an ARI program including what led to this decision

Category 2: Implementation Grants

Goal: Reduce the number of non-violent offenders committed to prison from the identified target population by 25% based on the average number of commitments in the prior three years.	
Process Objectives	Performance Measures
Form multi-disciplinary team (MDT) to guide program implementation	➤ Formation of a diverse steering committee including members representing criminal justice, treatment, social services, business, community leadership ➤ ___% of meetings in which all MDT members are in attendance.
Complete staff training for EBPs, reporting and data submission	➤ ___% of staff who are EBP trained. ➤ ___% of staff who completed ARI quarterly reporting training ➤ ___% of staff who completed ARI client-level data submission training
Identify, assess and enroll appropriate target population	➤ Number of clients referred ➤ ___% of clients assessed ➤ Number enrolled in the program

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Number of clients accepted into the program at each risk level: high, medium, low ➤ ___% of program capacity
Based on assessed risk, develop participant supervision plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Number of clients meeting all supervision program requirements ➤ Number with substance abuse disorder (if applicable) ➤ Number with mental health diagnosis (if applicable)
Based on assessed needs, develop participant service plan	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ ___% of participants with assessments ➤ ___% of participants with service plan
Monitor compliance and progress	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Number of face-to-face meetings with probation officer ➤ Number of clients at each program/treatment level or phase ➤ Average monthly caseload for program staff
Collect and submit performance measurement data as required	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Report ALL mandatory data elements at the individual level
Outcome Objectives	Performance Measures
Meet diversion goal set with ARI administrators for SFY18	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Number diverted for SFY18 ➤ Number of prison commitments for SFY18
___% of program participants will successfully complete program requirements.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Treatment completion rate ➤ ___% successfully completing all program requirements ➤ ___% unsuccessfully completing all program requirements
___% of program participants who make restitution for crimes committed and harm done to victims and their communities	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ➤ Number ordered to complete a restorative justice process ➤ Number completing a restorative justice process ➤ Number ordered to pay restitution; amount ordered ➤ Number who paid restitution; amount paid

D. Funding Information

1. Award period

Grant awards resulting from this opportunity will have a target period of performance of January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018.

2. Available Funds

ARI funds became available through Public Act 100-0021 (Article 27, Section 10). A maximum of \$500,000 will be made available through this funding opportunity. Funding will first be allocated to implementation grants that have met criteria for funding, followed by planning grants.

Category 1: Planning Grants

A county or a group of counties may request up to \$30,000. Planning grants typically range from \$3,500 to \$30,000 (maximum) with a life span of 90 to 180 days. Funding is available for the period of January 1, 2018, to June 30, 2018.

Category 2: Implementation Grants

Only jurisdictions with a completed local plan (using the standard plan template) may apply for implementation funds. Preference will be given to those jurisdictions whose local plans have received prior ARIOB approval. Funding is available for the six-month period of January 1, 2018 to June 30, 2018.

Agreements that result from this funding opportunity are contingent upon and subject to the availability of funds. ICJIA, at its sole option, may terminate or suspend this agreement, in whole or in part, without penalty or further payment being required, if (1) the Illinois General Assembly fails to make an appropriation sufficient to pay such obligation, or if funds needed are insufficient for any reason (30 ILCS 500/20-60); (2) the Governor decreases ICJIA's funding by reserving some or all of ICJIA appropriation(s) pursuant to power delegated to the Governor by the Illinois General Assembly; or (3) ICJIA determines, in its sole discretion or as directed by the Office of the Governor, that a reduction is necessary or advisable based upon actual or projected budgetary considerations. The Implementing Agency will be notified in writing of the failure of appropriation or of a reduction or decrease.

3. Cost Sharing or Matching

There is no matching or cost-sharing requirement.

4. Indirect Cost Rate

In order to charge indirect costs to a grant, the applicant organization must have an annually negotiated indirect cost rate agreement (NICRA). There are three types of NICRAs:

- a) Federally Negotiated Rate: Applicant organizations that receive direct federal funding may have an indirect cost rate that was negotiated with the Federal Cognizant Agency. Illinois will accept the federally negotiated rate. The organization must provide a copy of the federally NICRA.
- b) State Negotiated Rate: The organization must negotiate an indirect cost rate with the State of Illinois if they do not have Federally Negotiated Rate or use the De Minimis Rate. The indirect cost rate proposal must be submitted to the State of Illinois within 90 days of the notice of award.
- c) De Minimis Rate: An organization that has never received a Federally Negotiated Rate may elect a de minimis rate of 10% of modified total direct cost (MTDC). Once established, the de minimis rate may be used indefinitely. The State of Illinois must verify the calculation of the MTDC annually in order to accept the de minimis rate. Applicants must submit documentation of the MTDC calculation to ICJIA within 90 days of the execution of a grant agreement under this solicitation.

Programs charging negotiated indirect cost rates to a grant must provide a copy of the Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreement (NICRA) at time of application.

E. Eligibility Information

1. Eligible Applicants

Applicants for funding must be a county unit of government in good standing with the State of Illinois. Counties may apply individually or as a group or judicial circuit (with a lead county as the grantee). Applicants can decide the most appropriate county agency to administer and coordinate the activities identified in the application.

2. GATA Compliance

Agencies must be pre-qualified through the Grant Accountability and Transparency Act (GATA) Grantee Portal, www.grants.illinois.gov, to become eligible to apply for an award. During pre-qualification, Dun and Bradstreet verifications are performed, including a check of Debarred and Suspended status and good standing with the Secretary of State. The pre-qualification process also includes a financial and administrative risk assessment utilizing an Internal Controls Questionnaire (ICQ).

Applicants must have completed the GATA pre-qualification process and received approval of their ICQ from a State cognizant agency by the date of application. Applications from agencies that have not received ICQ approval will not be reviewed.

F. Application and Submission Information

1. Address to Obtain Application Materials

Applications must be obtained at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/> by clicking on the link titled “ARI Planning and Implementation”. All required application materials must be emailed to CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov by **11:59 p.m., October 30, 2017**, to be considered for funding. Proposals will not be accepted by mail, fax or in-person. Incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Late submissions will not be reviewed.

Paper copies of the application materials may be requested by calling Adriana Perez at 312-793-8406, but applications may only be submitted via email.

Agencies are encouraged to plan to submit their applications 72 hours in advance of the deadline. Technical difficulties experienced at any point during the process should be reported immediately to ICJIA at CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov.

2. Content and Form of Application Submission

Notice of Intent

Agencies interested in submitting an application are strongly encouraged to complete an online Notice of Intent form by **11:59 p.m., October 16, 2017**. Submission of a Notice of Intent is nonbinding and will be used for internal planning purposes only. Agencies must have completed the GATA pre-qualification process and received ICQ approval from a State cognizant agency by the date of application. Upon receipt of a Notice of Intent, ICJIA will offer technical assistance to agencies which have not yet demonstrated GATA compliance.

Failure to submit a Notice of Intent by the deadline above may result in an agency not receiving technical assistance with respect to GATA compliance, therefore risking grant ineligibility.

The online Notice of Intent is available at:

<https://www.surveygizmo.com/s3/3814146/Adult-Redeploy-Illinois-SFY18-Planning-and-Implementation-Grants-Notice-of-Intent>

Application Submission

The application must be emailed to CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov. The applicant agency name should appear in the Subject line of the email. Each document attached to the email must be submitted in the manner and method described below. Applications will be rejected if any documents are missing. The applicant is responsible for ensuring that documents adhere to the instructions provided.

The following materials MUST be submitted by all applicants. The applicant must submit the documents based on the instructions provided below.				
Document	Document Name	PDF	Word	Excel
Uniform Application for State Grant Assistance – This form must be completed, signed, and scanned.	<i>“Agency Name – Application”</i>	X		
Program Narrative – This document must meet the requirements outlined in Section A. The narrative must be provided on this document. Do not change the format of this document.	<i>“Agency Name – Program Narrative”</i>		X	
Budget/Budget Narrative: This document is a workbook, with several pages (tabs). The last tab are instructions if clarification is need for a particular category.	<i>“Agency Name – Budget”</i>			X

3. Dun and Bradstreet Universal Numbering System (DUNS) Number and System for Award Management (SAM)

Each applicant is required to:

(i) Be registered in SAM before submitting its application. If you are not registered in SAM, this link provides a connection for SAM registration:

<https://governmentcontractregistration.com/sam-registration.asp>

(ii) Provide a valid DUNS number in its application. To obtain a DUNS number, visit from Dun and Bradstreet, Inc., online at www.dunandbradstreet.com or call 1-866-705- 5711.

(iii) Maintain an active SAM registration throughout the application and grant period. ICJIA may not make a federal pass-through or state award to an applicant until the applicant has complied with all applicable DUNS and SAM requirements. If an applicant has not fully complied with the requirements by the time ICJIA is

ready to make a federal pass-through or state award, ICJIA may determine that the applicant is not qualified to receive an award and may use that determination as a basis for making a federal pass-through or state award to another applicant.

4. Submission Dates and Times

Mandatory Applicant Technical Assistance Session

Applicants must view the following mandatory NOFO technical assistance recordings on ICJIA website at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>:

- NOFO programmatic requirements.
- GATA compliance.
- Budget Requirements
- Allowable expenses.
- Indirect costs.
- Required documents.
- Supplanting.

The recordings will be available for viewing beginning at **1:30 p.m. September 22, 2017**. **Applicants must certify viewing the recording. Information provided during the session will be unofficial and not binding on the state. Certification of Viewing should be printed and kept on file for verification purposes.**

Notices of Intent to Apply

Agencies interested in submitting an application are strongly encouraged to complete an online Notice of Intent form by **11:59 p.m., October 16, 2017**. Submission of a Notice of Intent is nonbinding and will be used for internal planning purposes only. Agencies must have completed the GATA pre-qualification process and received ICQ approval from a State cognizant agency by the date of application. Upon receipt of a Notice of Intent, ICJIA will offer technical assistance to agencies which have not yet demonstrated GATA compliance.

The online Notice of Intent is available at:

<https://www.surveymoz.com/s3/3814146/Adult-Redeploy-Illinois-SFY18-Planning-and-Implementation-Grants-Notice-of-Intent>

Application Submission Questions and Deadline

Questions may be submitted via email at CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov.

The deadline for submitting questions is 11:59 p.m., October 16, 2017. All substantive questions and responses will be posted on the ICJIA website at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>.

Due to the competitive nature of this solicitation, applicants may not discuss this opportunity directly or indirectly with any ICJIA employee other than the respondent of this email address. Only written answers to questions shall be binding on the state.

All applications are due by **11:59 p.m. October 30, 2017**.

Completed application materials must be emailed to CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov by **11:59 p.m., October 30, 2017**, to be considered for funding. Proposals will not be accepted by mail, fax, or in-person. Incomplete applications will not be reviewed. Late submissions will not be reviewed.

Agencies are encouraged to submit their applications 72 hours in advance of the deadline to avoid unforeseen technical difficulties. Technical difficulties should be reported immediately to ICJIA at CJA.ARI.SFY18PIGrant@Illinois.gov.

5. Intergovernmental Review

Not applicable.

6. Funding Descriptions

The Federal Financial Guide which details allowable and unallowable costs is available at: <http://ojp.gov/financialguide/index.htm>.

In addition, costs may be determined to be unallowable even if they are not expressly prohibited in the Federal Financial Guide.

Supplanting

If funds will be used for the expansion of an already implemented program, applicants must explain how proposed activities will supplement—not supplant—current program activities and staff positions. Public agencies may not deliberately reduce local, federal or other state funds because of the existence of these funds. A written certification may be requested by ICJIA stating that these funds will not be used to supplant other state, local, or federal funds.

Prohibited Uses

Land acquisition and construction are prohibited uses of these funds. Funds may not be used for capital expenditures, renovations or remodeling, or any food and beverage purchases.

Pre-award costs

No costs incurred before the start date of the interagency agreement may be charged to a grant award received as part of this funding opportunity.

Pre-approvals

In efforts to ensure the reasonableness, necessity and allowability of proposed uses of funds, ICJIA:

- (1) Requires prior written approval of Out of State travel.
- (2) Requires prior written approval of equipment over \$5,000. Prior approvals may affect project timelines.
- (3) Requires prior written approval of Requests for Proposals and all subcontracts.

ICJIA review of these materials should be incorporated into application Implementation Schedules.

State Travel Guidelines

All travel costs charged to ICJIA per contractual agreement must conform to State Travel Guidelines, which may be found here:

https://www.illinois.gov/cms/Employees/travel/Documents/travelguide_FY2017.pdf

Applicant agencies with lower cost travel guidelines must use those lower rates.

E. Application Review Information

1. Criteria

Application materials must address all components of this Notice of Funding Opportunity and demonstrate both a need for the program and an ability to successfully implement the program. Application selection will be made using the following criteria.

The total number of points available in each category is 100.

Category 1: Planning Grant

Scoring Criteria	Possible Points	
Summary of the Program:		10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Provides a clear, concise summary of the proposal stating the problems or needs to be addressed, and states outcomes to be 	10	

gained.		
Statement of the Problem:		10
• Identifies unmet programmatic needs.	5	
• Describes the local resources available to meet the identified programmatic needs, and identifies why the jurisdiction may lack adequate resources to implement the program.	5	
Key Partners:		10
• The planning committee includes all key and principal partners in the justice system.	10	
Program Strategy:		25
• Describe how the planning committee will be assembled and how often they will meet.	6	
• Describe plan to assemble and analyze relevant data.	6	
• Describe plan to assess current alternatives to incarceration and resources, and identify gaps (e.g., community resource mapping)	7	
• Describe how the local plan will be completed and by whom.	6	
Goals, Objectives and Performance Indicators:		20
• Sets reasonable benchmarks for the performance of both process and outcome objectives.	10	
• Describes how each the objectives will be accomplished.	10	
Budget Detail:		15
• Budget is complete.	5	
• Costs are allowable and reasonable.	5	
• Budgeted items are cost-effective in relation to the proposed activities.	5	
Budget Narrative:		10
• Narrative is complete for all line items, clearly detailing how the applicant arrived at and calculated the budget amounts.	5	
• Narrative describes why each line item is necessary for program implementation.	5	
Total Possible Points		100

Category 2: Implementation Grant

Scoring Criteria	Possible Points	
Summary of the Program:		5

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a clear, concise summary of the proposal stating the problems or needs to be addressed, and states outcomes to be gained. 	5	
Statement of the Problem – Description of Service Area:		10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes a description of community demographic characteristics. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes characteristics of the criminal justice system that are relevant to the statement of need. 	5	
Statement of the Problem – Current Situation:		10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides a complete data table that demonstrates need for the program, and indicates what the data demonstrate. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Clearly explains current strategies being implemented to address the stated need. 	5	
Statement of the Problem – Unmet Needs:		5
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes the gaps in local justice and human services systems and identifies what needs to be addressed prior to implementing the program. 	5	
Key Partners		10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The steering committee includes all key and principal partners in the justice system. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes letters of support from all key stakeholders. 	5	
Description of the Proposed ARI Program Model:		35
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Defines the criteria to be used to accept individuals into the program. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes the intake and assessment process to be used for the ARI program. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes how you will integrate existing services and programs that are already designed to meet the individual needs of targeted offenders. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes what will be done to rehabilitate the offender in the community that is different from current practices. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Includes a statement of impact to the court system. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes a plan to incorporate community involvement in the Adult Redeploy Illinois program. 	5	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes a plan of how the program utilizes or will utilize the Affordable Care Act (ACA) to increase access to a broad range of treatment in the most cost-effective way. 	5	
Goals, Objectives and Performance Indicators:		10
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Sets reasonable benchmarks for the performance of both 	5	

process and outcome objectives.		
• Describes how each of the objectives will be accomplished and measured.	5	
Project Management:		5
• Describes plan for coordination and supervision of the project activities, including at least .25 FTE project coordinator	5	
Budget Detail:		6
• Budget is complete.	2	
• Costs are allowable and reasonable.	2	
• Budgeted items are cost-effective in relation to the proposed activities.	2	
Budget Narrative:		4
• Narrative is complete for all line items, clearly detailing how the applicant arrived at and calculated the budget amounts.	2	
• Narrative describes why each line item is necessary for program implementation.	2	
Total Possible Points		100

2. Review and Selection Process

All applications will be screened for completeness and GATA ICQ approval for the current state fiscal year. Applications from agencies that do not have a current and approved ICQ by the date of application will not be reviewed.

Proposals that pass the screening process will be reviewed by a panel of ICJIA staff, including ARI staff, and the Adult Redeploy Illinois Oversight Board (ARIOB) Site Selection & Monitoring Committee. Funding will first be allocated to implementation grants that have met criteria for funding, followed by planning grants. Selection will be based on the average of team scores. Proposal selection will be made using the criteria hierarchy detailed below:

Highest scoring application
Highest score: Statement of the Problem
Highest score: Program Strategy/Description of Program Model

Application review will be conducted by ICJIA staff, including ARI staff, and the Adult Redeploy Illinois Oversight Board (ARIOB) Site Selection & Monitoring Committee. ICJIA staff, including ARI staff, and ARIOB committee members reserve the right to reject any or all incomplete proposals, proposals including unallowable activities, proposals that fail to

meet eligibility or program requirements, or proposals that are otherwise deemed to be unsatisfactory. Reviewers also reserve the right to invite one or more applicants to resubmit amended applications and modify budgets that include unallowable or unreasonable costs.

In addition to the cumulative scores, reviewers also will be considering cost-benefit analysis with respect to cost per person served/diverted in the proposed program and the potential savings accruing to the state, geographic distribution of proposed services areas, past performance history and/or financial standing with ICJIA, when making the final award decisions.

Successful applicants whose applications contained unallowable or unreasonable costs will have their award reduced by the total amount of all unallowable or unreasonable costs. Upon applicant acceptance of the grant award, announcement of the grant award shall be published by the awarding agency to the GATA portal.

3. Debriefing Process

Unsuccessful applicants may request a debriefing for feedback that could help them improve future funding applications. Briefings will take the form of advice to applicants on the strengths and weaknesses of their applications in terms of the evaluation and review criteria.

Requests for debriefings must be made via email and submitted within seven calendar days after receipt of notice.

Please send requests to:

Adriana Perez, Program Manager
Adult Redeploy Illinois
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Adriana.Perez@Illinois.gov

4. Appeals Process

Unsuccessful applicants may request a formal appeal. Only the evaluation process is subject to appeal. Evaluation scores and funding determinations may not be contested and will not be considered by ICJIA's Appeals Review Officer. The appeal must be via email and submitted within 14 calendar days after either the date the grant award notice is published or receipt of a Funding Opportunity Declination Letter from ICJIA, whichever comes first. The written appeal must include, at a minimum, the following:

- a. Statement indicating a request for a formal appeal.
- b. The name and address of the appealing party.
- c. Identification of the grant program.

- d. A statement of reason for the appeal.

Please send your appeal to:

Appeals Review Officer
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Cja.aro@Illinois.gov

ICJIA will acknowledge an appeal within 14 calendar days of receipt. ICJIA will respond to the appeal within 60 days or supply a written explanation as to why additional time is required. The appealing party must supply any additional information requested by ICJIA within the time period set in the request. ICJIA will resolve the appeal by means of written determination. The determination will include:

- Review of the appeal.
- Appeal determination.
- Rationale for the determination
- Standard description of the appeal review process and criteria.

5. *Programmatic Risk*

All applicant agencies recommended for funding will be required to submit a completed ICJIA Programmatic Risk Assessment (PRA). This assessment will identify elements of fiscal and administrative risk at the program level and will be used to determine required specific conditions to the interagency agreement.

The PRA must be completed for the program agency.

Implementing agency vs. program agency

- An implementing agency is the legal entity that receives state funds, such as a county.
- A program agency:
 - Is a subdivision of the implementing agency, such as a county probation department.
 - Carries out program operations.
 - Is responsible for data and fiscal reporting.

PRA's completed for other state agencies will not be accepted by ICJIA.

6. *Anticipated Announcement and State Award Dates*

Milestones	Target Date
Release of NOFO and open application	Wednesday, September 13, 2017
Posting of pre-application technical assistance recording	Friday, September 22, 2017
Notice of Intent due	October 16, 2017
Last date for submission of questions	October 26, 2017
Application Closes	October 30, 2017
Site Selection & Monitoring Committee Meeting	November 2017
Oversight Board Meeting	November 13, 2017
Start Program Performance Period	January 1, 2018

F. Award Administration Information

ICJIA will transmit a Notice of State Award (NOSA) to successful applicants after the ARI Oversight Board reviews and approves designations on November 13, 2017. No costs incurred before the start date of the agreement may be charged to the grant. The NOSA will detail specific conditions that will be included in the grant agreement. Applicant agencies must return the signed NOSA before the start of the agreement.

The following documents that must be received before an award may be issued, including the following required documents which may be viewed at <https://gata.icjia.cloud/>:

- Fiscal Information Sheet in Word completed by the Implementing Agency.
- Audit Information Sheet in PDF format completed and signed by the Implementing Agency.
- Completed ICJIA Programmatic Risk Assessment completed for the Program Agency in Excel.

Costs Incurred

No costs incurred before the start date of the agreement may be charged to the grant.

Administrative and National Policy Requirements

In addition to implementing the funded project consistent with the agency-approved project proposal and budget, agencies selected for funding must comply with applicable grant terms and conditions and other legal requirements, including, but not limited to, ICJIA Financial Guide and Policy and Procedure Manual, the Office of Management and Budget Grants Accountability and Transparency Act, and U.S. Department of Justice regulations which will be included in the award documents, incorporated into the award by reference, or are otherwise applicable to the award.

Successful applicants will be required to enter into intergovernmental agreements for each funded component of the program. Additional programmatic and administrative special conditions may be required.

Reporting

Recipients must submit monthly financial reports, quarterly progress reports, final financial and progress reports, and, if applicable, an annual audit report in accordance with the CFR Part 200 Uniform Requirements. Future awards and fund drawdowns may be withheld if reports are delinquent.

Site Visits

Grantees will be required to participate in periodic site visit assessments. The assessments will provide feedback to the ARIOB on the overall organizational and operational strengths and weaknesses of the program. The assessments will be conducted by ARI staff representatives, ARIOB members and ICJIA research and analysis staff representatives, resulting in a written report to be shared with grantees and provided to the ARIOB.

G. State Awarding Agency Contact(s)

For questions and technical assistance regarding application submission, contact:


Adriana Perez, Program Manager
Adult Redeploy Illinois
Illinois Criminal Justice Information Authority
Adriana.Perez@Illinois.gov

H. Other Information

Neither the State of Illinois nor ICJIA are obligated to make any award as a result of this announcement. ICJIA Executive Director has sole authority to bind the state government to the expenditure of funds through the execution of interagency grant agreements.

APPENDIX A

Map of ARI Sites



- Local Programs**
- 27 Problem-solving courts
 - 22 Drug courts
 - 5 Mental health courts (2 with veteran treatment track)
 - 12 Intensive supervision probation with services programs (1 HOPE probation)

- Key Components**
- Assessment of risk, needs and assets
 - Evidence-based and promising practices
 - Performance measurement and evaluation
 - Annual report to Governor and General Assembly

- Expected Results**
- Reduced prison over-crowding
 - Lower costs to taxpayers
 - End to the expensive and vicious cycle of crime and incarceration

APPENDIX B

Evidence-based and Promising Practices at ARI Sites

Assessments	Program Models	Probation Methods & Tools	Treatment & Therapy	Recovery & Support
Level of Service Inventory-Revised (LSI-R)	Adult drug court	Effective Practices in Community Supervision (EPICS)	Matrix model	Recovery coaching
Texas Christian University (TCU) screening & assessments	Adult mental health court	Effective Casework Model	Dialectical-Behavior Therapy (DBT)	Twelve-Step Facilitation Therapy (AA, NA)
Global Appraisal of Individual Needs (GAIN)	Intensive supervision (surveillance & treatment)	Motivational interviewing (MI)	Medication Assisted Treatment (MAT)	SMART Recovery (Self Management and Recovery Training)
Substance Abuse Subtle Screening Inventory (SASSI)	Hawaii's Opportunity Probation with Enforcement (HOPE)	Swift & certain/ graduated sanction case management for substance abusing offenders	Integrated Dual Disorder Therapy	Wellness Recovery Action Planning (WRAP)
Risk and Needs Triage (RANT)		Electronic monitoring	Assertive Community Treatment (ACT)	Transitional and supportive housing
Client Evaluation of Self Treatment (CEST)		Carey Guides – Brief Intervention Tools (BITS)	Cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) (for high and moderate risk offenders) <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Thinking for a Change (T4C) - Moral Reconciliation Therapy (MRT) - Strategies for Self-Improvement and Change (SSC) - Relapse Prevention Therapy (RPT) - Moving On - Co-occurring Disorders Program (CDP) - Anger Management - Motivational Enhancement Therapy - A New Direction 	Wrap-around services <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Community Reinforcement Approach - Cultural Competency - Family psycho-education - Work therapy - Employment retention
PTSD Checklist-Civilian Version (PCL-C), Trauma Screening Questionnaire (TSQ), Suicide Behaviors Questionnaire-Revised (SBQ-R)			Trauma-informed therapy <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Seeking Safety - Trauma Recovery & Empowerment Model (TREM) - Helping Men/Women Recover 	

APPENDIX C

Mandatory ARI data elements for performance measurement

Demographics:

- Name
- Date of birth
- Gender
- Race
- SID (fingerprint identification number)

Case information:

- Current offense (type of offense, class, dates of arrest and sentence)
- Date client was accepted/enrolled in the program
- If not accepted or enrolled, reason
- Termination date, reason

Adult Redeploy Illinois (ARI) information:

- Probation/ARI conditions (types of treatment required, restitution, education, etc.)
- Treatment provider(s)
- Status updates on these conditions (movement between phases, interrupted treatment, start date, completion date, compliance with treatment, etc.)
- Termination from conditions (successful or not, reason for termination, date of completion/termination)
- Changes in education level and employment
- Technical violations, rule infractions, other negative behavior (date, violation reason, sanction applied/response)
- Arrests/convictions while on ARI (date, offense, class, sentence and date if applicable)
- Level of Service Inventory-Revised (LSI-R)/other assessment scores, initial and follow up (date, and at least final assessed risk level and override, if applicable)
- Number of in-person visits with each client monthly (in-office visits, field visits, any time the officer and client meet face-to-face. Phone contacts should not be counted as face-to-face contacts)
- Primary substance of choice (if applicable)
- Drug testing information (date tested, result of test, substance(s) found if positive, location of test [probation or treatment provider])
- Diagnosis information
 - Mental health (date of diagnosis, actual diagnosis)
 - Substance abuse/dependence (date of diagnosis, abuse and/or dependence, substance of preference)

Adult Redeploy Illinois Summary
 Selected Court Admission Types by County
 FY06 - FY16

	2006			2007			2008			2009			2010			2011			2012			2013			2014			2015			2016			FY14-FY16 Average		
	Eligible	May Be	Ineligible	w/o May Be	w/ May Be																															
Adams	124	23	47	96	26	38	96	22	46	115	32	45	87	24	66	77	28	53	123	34	55	102	37	70	107	24	59	97	28	70	92	21	46	99	123	
Alexander	11	4	9	6	7	11	4	3	7	1	5	9	7	3	5	5	2	4	6	1	6	6	2	13	3	0	10	2	0	5	3	1	6	3	3	
Bond	12	2	11	9	2	10	18	4	11	16	5	10	13	4	12	8	0	10	14	7	6	17	6	15	12	5	9	22	1	9	19	3	9	18	21	
Boone	22	10	37	35	9	38	34	7	52	33	5	41	32	2	24	28	6	27	25	4	53	26	4	43	20	5	40	26	3	50	36	12	59	27	34	
Brown	0	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	0	1	0	5	1	5	3	0	1	3	2	0	1	0	1	4	1	1	3	2	2	2	0	1	2	3	
Bureau	28	11	19	14	10	18	23	3	12	23	7	17	21	6	12	16	6	9	22	5	11	21	4	12	28	4	14	19	7	11	19	9	16	22	29	
Calhoun	4	1	1	1	2	0	7	1	0	4	2	1	1	0	0	4	4	4	4	2	2	5	0	3	11	3	2	4	0	5	5	3	6	7	9	
Carroll	17	3	7	12	5	11	5	11	5	10	3	5	3	1	3	10	3	15	2	2	4	2	2	6	5	2	6	10	4	11	8	1	5	8	10	
Cass	9	6	5	30	6	13	31	4	23	22	5	12	16	5	18	10	5	10	9	3	7	19	3	11	4	5	9	15	3	6	6	2	4	8	12	
Champaign	266	116	239	324	97	245	318	83	197	252	79	224	262	55	185	252	63	210	213	47	189	219	51	162	210	49	216	211	43	185	128	36	165	183	226	
Christian	52	8	24	42	10	23	35	15	19	33	10	16	19	8	20	17	8	20	15	8	15	22	7	17	28	12	36	17	9	16	24	6	18	23	32	
Clark	21	2	12	16	8	15	16	8	14	19	7	12	11	6	9	11	3	10	9	4	7	10	3	12	13	4	12	5	4	8	18	3	6	12	16	
Clay	16	4	8	22	9	6	8	8	4	13	5	7	16	5	7	9	4	10	17	4	5	6	7	13	15	4	10	17	6	12	30	2	18	21	25	
Clinton	29	6	16	46	7	15	36	15	24	23	4	8	48	4	24	35	9	18	24	16	15	40	7	25	42	5	15	24	2	18	31	4	17	32	36	
Coles	85	35	66	59	28	64	46	30	66	43	17	39	45	24	58	55	27	46	41	23	37	46	22	43	34	21	30	45	22	37	25	16	27	35	54	
Cook	8,621	1,579	4,872	8,572	1,681	4,496	8,381	1,791	4,457	7,186	1,453	4,688	6,758	1,239	4,913	4,976	1,030	4,385	5,381	1,082	4,629	5,949	1,111	4,955	5,706	1,229	4,979	5,448	1,005	4,579	4,720	710	4,254	5,291	6,273	
Crawford	37	6	15	31	8	15	19	12	11	24	6	15	26	4	14	15	6	20	23	2	16	23	5	12	19	7	12	28	15	13	24	4	12	24	32	
Cumberland	9	1	8	6	0	4	6	2	5	5	1	3	1	0	3	2	2	3	1	0	1	1	0	3	4	3	8	5	0	9	1	0	7	3	4	
DeKalb	45	21	54	52	12	59	23	8	41	55	15	67	45	10	54	33	17	63	32	10	72	34	9	65	28	17	68	43	12	67	27	14	35	33	47	
DeWitt	20	9	13	22	7	11	21	7	21	25	10	16	10	2	11	11	6	6	32	7	9	13	3	8	14	5	14	14	6	11	13	3	8	14	18	
Douglas	21	3	13	19	4	10	26	7	22	30	4	12	16	2	13	11	6	16	6	7	16	8	4	11	7	5	7	9	7	12	13	3	5	10	15	
DuPage	581	78	321	597	85	334	495	61	371	483	85	361	476	70	332	294	44	298	338	46	272	348	71	290	375	81	285	259	54	245	250	38	191	295	352	
Edgar	36	21	18	28	9	20	32	12	17	19	8	20	39	11	13	10	11	6	9	6	5	3	10	13	8	7	21	7	4	28	9	11	21	29		
Edwards	3	2	4	2	0	3	2	0	2	11	2	8	10	0	7	1	3	8	5	2	8	9	3	6	1	4	8	3	1	3	4	3	13	3	5	
Effingham	29	11	21	32	10	25	13	9	15	36	9	23	44	11	20	25	4	30	30	4	20	31	9	19	23	4	15	30	4	20	20	3	12	24	28	
Fayette	24	8	22	17	6	16	25	10	28	36	4	33	17	3	27	28	8	23	18	5	33	23	3	27	25	8	26	35	6	25	30	8	11	30	37	
Ford	4	1	7	4	4	9	11	3	13	7	4	5	6	1	13	3	7	1	3	2	2	5	4	6	5	6	3	4	5	8	3	7	5	8	5	8
Franklin	28	6	27	22	10	34	18	8	17	30	10	34	30	12	21	29	10	30	30	16	31	40	25	30	37	11	33	38	18	35	32	10	31	36	49	
Fulton	27	3	17	22	4	12	15	2	14	23	3	19	17	5	14	14	6	11	14	4	16	18	16	18	29	6	16	22	6	4	17	4	11	23	28	
Gallatin	7	1	3	1	1	2	8	1	0	6	1	2	1	0	0	5	2	2	4	4	3	6	0	3	2	2	1	2	2	1	0	1	0	1	2	3
Greene	5	2	5	7	1	1	7	2	7	11	1	5	13	5	2	13	0	5	4	3	4	4	1	2	3	6	5	6	3	5	12	3	5	7	11	
Grundy	26	4	9	19	4	21	19	7	15	30	2	23	21	5	19	26	7	19	17	6	17	26	4	18	40	12	21	23	5	15	20	2	19	28	34	
Hamilton	2	5	2	6	2	1	4	4	2	9	6	3	19	8	7	9	6	6	10	6	9	8	4	7	9	2	3	14	2	6	12	3	4	12	14	
Hancock	10	5	4	5	3	10	13	4	4	11	6	8	13	3	5	9	8	8	16	2	13	8	1	2	10	9	4	3	6	7	13	2	8	9	14	
Hardin	3	1	2	3	2	4	0	0	5	4	1	5	4	3	3	4	2	3	2	1	4	6	3	11	4	1	3	1	1	3	5	0	7	3	4	
Henderson	7	1	4	7	1	3	10	3	2	7	1	2	6	2	7	3	0	1	9	1	6	3	0	1	5	0	1	4	1	8	5	1	2	5	5	
Henry	76	11	67	90	14	57	82	16	63	72	12	77	72	16	53	33	11	53	47	12	68	52	10	52	30	7	36	40	7	32	50	6	32	40	47	
Iroquois	34	7	19	20	6	13	11	5	13	15	14	11	15	10	17	19	4	22	19	9	14	13	8	18	16	4	7	14	6	10	12	5	16	14	19	
Jackson	43	9	44	40	7	39	37	10	35	51	13	30	26	6	34	27	2	36	57	11	43	51	12	51	45	20	57	38	19	56	25	20	48	36	56	
Jasper	8	0	4	10	4	4	6	1	8	5	4	5	1	3	2	3	4	1	4	5	0	8	3	2	6	3	0	3	1	6	4	2	5	8	8	
Jefferson	21	13	28	32	5	39	41	8	29	28	6	32	31	6	48	23	10	19	27	12	47	34	13	47	26	3	30	25	19	22	33	9	35	28	38	
Jersey	25	8	14	41	6	26	56	12	19	42	8	16	53	4	16	15	12	12	13	4	15	22	8	11	23	6	17	17	4	9	28	5	10	23	28	
Jo Daviess	9	1	9	12	3	7	12	5	2	9	0	7	4	2	4	1	0	5	3	1	4	6	0	5	3	0	5	2	6	5	3	6	4	6	6	
Johnson	19	1	4	11	4	5	11	0	11	20	3	15	13	7	10	5	1	7	10	3	6	9	4	6	15	2	2	5	2	6	14	4	4	11	14	
Kane	266	72	316	322	65	377	326	75	346	385	66	400	351	60	369	284	52	350	236	56	346	232	54	329	152	41	221	129	40	268	110	36	266	130	169	
Kankakee	157	26	138	168	26	135	134	22	143	143	22	158	161	28	143	125	29	113	94	27	143	98	29	122	88	26	126	70	11	100	44	12	91	67	84	
Kendall	46	9	27	52	16	31	77	18	41	98	12	37	47	9	39	28	5	38	43	9	34	43	6	49	31	7	55	33	7	45	33	2	40	32	38	
Knox	26	14	24	48	11	26	62	21	21	52	18	35	53	16	33	50	20	29	32	10	34	40	14	28	34	9	33	25	13	35	35	14	27	31	43	
Lake	439	63	358	4																																

Moultrie	15	6	7	9	5	7	7	4	5	6	4	4	11	4	6	6	3	7	7	3	5	8	2	6	12	2	3	9	2	9	6	3	9	9	11	
Ogle	12	10	11	16	1	21	18	6	19	22	4	20	17	5	15	10	5	22	11	6	14	20	5	24	15	7	31	18	6	23	7	5	18	13	19	
Peoria	187	40	179	254	45	266	310	68	291	279	59	307	214	56	248	178	61	231	162	57	234	183	61	274	143	45	263	111	43	198	106	39	185	120	162	
Perry	13	4	8	8	4	9	6	5	13	11	3	7	9	0	8	6	4	7	7	2	4	12	0	15	14	1	5	12	0	8	19	1	4	15	16	
Piatt	9	0	3	1	0	5	6	1	5	9	0	5	5	1	2	5	0	3	12	1	8	8	4	5	2	2	2	3	0	2	7	1	2	4	5	
Pike	36	10	18	33	8	7	28	13	9	19	10	8	22	6	12	19	9	11	18	11	8	27	10	11	14	5	3	14	4	6	18	6	12	15	20	
Pope	4	2	2	4	0	1	4	0	3	1	2	4	8	0	3	6	2	3	5	1	5	8	1	3	8	0	2	6	1	3	7	2	4	7	8	
Pulaski	5	1	7	8	2	11	9	0	4	8	1	9	4	0	3	3	2	3	1	2	4	5	1	2	2	0	3	1	0	3	1	0	5	1	1	
Putnam	0	1	1	3	1	2	2	3	0	1	0	2	1	0	3	1	0	2	2	2	7	0	3	3	1	0	2	2	2	3	1	0	0	2	3	
Randolph	23	9	8	26	9	10	21	2	5	31	8	16	15	4	6	9	3	3	11	4	8	7	4	8	14	2	16	27	6	15	24	7	20	22	27	
Richland	41	7	15	27	10	14	35	11	16	38	6	16	20	3	13	24	5	9	20	6	10	23	3	14	14	8	13	14	3	13	11	0	11	13	17	
Rock Island	99	22	100	106	33	101	91	22	85	67	29	78	51	27	94	42	27	104	47	22	80	54	16	68	56	21	77	50	17	77	56	14	89	54	71	
St. Clair	351	47	266	319	42	228	251	19	166	250	55	219	197	59	184	139	34	165	130	23	222	163	37	208	167	38	269	122	28	165	118	28	197	136	167	
Saline	61	12	29	45	5	21	45	7	30	19	9	21	35	7	24	19	12	22	33	9	26	40	9	34	31	13	22	33	9	33	25	7	23	30	39	
Sangamon	232	58	181	257	55	223	210	43	176	183	57	169	161	35	168	101	41	156	132	48	173	152	37	180	127	53	194	137	57	172	134	46	166	133	185	
Schuyler	8	1	3	7	0	3	10	0	4	9	0	14	12	6	9	5	2	12	6	2	5	14	1	11	6	5	8	12	2	5	1	1	5	6	9	
Scott	0	1	1	3	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	3	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	2	1	2	3	0	1	1	2	0	2	1	2	
Shelby	24	7	11	26	6	8	38	7	18	40	3	18	32	6	21	21	0	7	21	11	9	27	5	11	15	2	9	17	5	18	8	2	7	13	16	
Stark	3	0	6	5	1	2	1	0	1	2	0	3	1	0	3	1	0	3	1	0	3	8	0	0	5	1	2	2	1	3	0	0	1	2	3	
Stephenson	49	12	73	58	18	75	50	11	53	58	15	55	39	9	55	41	14	24	46	9	31	38	14	42	48	10	41	41	11	42	38	5	38	42	51	
Tazewell	99	37	75	89	45	99	95	27	91	105	34	91	105	37	68	74	35	65	61	36	65	95	23	75	103	45	93	94	34	76	71	29	69	89	125	
Union	12	1	13	16	2	6	9	4	12	12	1	7	7	6	18	16	4	11	11	3	9	19	5	23	35	13	14	22	6	12	21	8	21	26	35	
Vermilion	98	25	93	155	58	106	160	32	99	105	32	92	108	27	58	104	44	81	95	23	66	101	30	64	82	22	73	80	31	85	64	29	73	75	103	
Wabash	14	5	4	15	3	5	6	4	8	18	2	8	15	2	10	10	4	3	10	12	3	10	4	9	11	6	6	11	3	16	12	2	10	11	15	
Warren	11	3	4	12	3	8	8	5	2	12	1	3	10	3	7	9	4	10	8	5	8	11	0	3	11	6	5	7	1	7	5	1	6	8	10	
Washington	18	4	6	16	2	1	9	7	9	15	3	3	8	4	5	1	2	4	1	5	2	0	2	2	0	3	5	1	2	6	0	5	4	5		
Wayne	15	4	7	28	1	11	15	3	14	22	8	18	15	3	5	8	3	5	5	5	7	4	1	1	2	2	5	15	0	2	12	3	3	10	11	
White	17	13	17	23	5	17	24	5	12	15	2	15	18	8	11	23	9	12	17	11	15	15	6	17	11	4	23	17	4	17	30	8	14	19	25	
Whiteside	100	36	93	105	20	73	100	19	89	101	24	73	68	24	70	77	20	40	66	19	53	54	25	65	41	17	36	56	13	54	36	19	50	44	61	
Will	472	81	370	577	86	409	527	90	432	526	77	469	574	104	453	489	88	404	465	95	377	570	97	478	448	59	365	395	77	340	437	62	386	427	493	
Williamson	58	8	38	48	10	49	37	13	26	33	7	39	36	12	30	31	3	25	32	18	35	27	20	44	22	11	25	26	13	25	11	8	17	20	30	
Winnebago	445	75	407	413	72	443	364	86	456	361	96	492	313	80	437	226	71	348	306	58	447	291	88	555	297	61	469	238	57	411	168	47	343	234	289	
Woodford	28	10	21	31	9	17	32	7	17	42	8	12	34	7	17	28	5	15	28	4	16	28	4	22	23	5	23	22	6	26	28	5	22	24	30	
Out of state	0	0	2	0	0	4	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	15,415	3,160	10,117	15,620	3,243	10,018	15,025	3,311	9,930	13,883	3,003	10,399	13,004	2,712	10,298	9,885	2,450	9,285	10,118	2,418	9,589	11,159	2,515	10,235	10,518	2,534	9,913	9,699	2,184	9,258	8,669	1,772	8,626	9,629	11,792	

Source: ICJIA analysis of administrative data provided by IDOC Planning and Research Unit

NOTE: The category of "May Be Eligible" applies to two probation ineligibility criteria outlined in 730 ILCS 5/5-5-3 that IDOC is not able to fully determine eligibility due to lack of data. The two ineligible criteria include: (F) Class 2 or greater felony if previously convicted of Class 2 or greater felony within 10 years. The "May Be Eligible" category includes offenders who are not otherwise ineligible, had a controlling Class 1 or Class 2 offense, and were incarcerated in IDOC previously (not a Direct from Court admission type). Specific data by offense class for previous convictions that did not result in an IDOC incarceration are not available. Although Direct from Court admissions could have previous Class 1 and Class 2 convictions that resulted in probation or another community sanction, or imprisonment in another state, IDOC determined that this code will include the population with the greatest likelihood of ineligible inmates admitted to prison for a Class 2 or greater felony if previously convicted of Class 2 or greater felony.

(J) A forcible felony if the offense was related to the activities of an organized gang. IDOC can identify a forcible felony according to statutory definition, but specific data to determine offenses committed related to organized gang activity are not available. The category of "May Be Eligible" includes offenders who are not otherwise ineligible and had been incarcerated with a controlling offense that is in the category of forcible felonies (first degree murder, second degree murder, predatory criminal sexual assault of a child, aggravated criminal sexual assault, criminal sexual assault, robbery, burglary, residential burglary, aggravated arson, arson, aggravated kidnapping, kidnapping, aggravated battery resulting in great bodily harm or permanent disability or disfigurement, and any other felony which involves the use or threat of physical force or violence against any individual).

Both criteria were combined into the "May Be Eligible" category. The only offense that would be included in both groups is burglary, a Class 2 felony and a forcible felony.

2016 IDOC Holding Offense by Class, for Suggested Program Eligible Individuals - by County

Committing County	Class 4						Class 3						Class 2						Class 1					
	DUI	Property	Controlled Substance	Cannabis	Non-violent Sex offense	Other	DUI	Property	Controlled Substance	Cannabis	Non-violent Sex offense	Other	DUI	Property	Controlled Substance	Cannabis	Non-violent Sex offense	Other	DUI	Property	Controlled Substance	Cannabis	Non-violent Sex offense	Other
Adams	10	21	9	2	1	1	0	5	23	2	5	0	0	12	9	0	1	1	0	1	10	0	0	0
Alexander	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Bond	1	2	3	1	0	0	0	1	7	3	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boone	4	14	9	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	0	2	0	12	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Brown	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Bureau	0	3	3	2	0	0	0	3	2	0	2	0	6	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0
Calhoun	0	4	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Carroll	1	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Cass	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0
Champaign	15	51	16	2	0	6	0	20	1	7	1	0	28	8	1	4	0	0	2	1	1	1	0	0
Christian	1	9	3	0	0	0	0	6	2	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clark	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	1	6	0	0	0	2	1	7	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Clay	1	2	3	0	0	2	0	4	2	2	0	0	1	13	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Clinton	0	12	4	1	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	4	4	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Coles	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	3	7	0	1	1	0	9	6	7	0	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cook	330	1320	1658	150	10	27	1	566	48	44	93	170	4	446	329	9	85	4	0	43	84	6	0	1
Crawford	2	2	4	0	0	3	0	5	3	0	1	0	0	4	2	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
Cumberland	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DeKalb	0	7	12	0	0	2	0	3	2	0	0	0	8	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
DeWitt	0	4	4	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Douglas	0	9	1	0	0	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Dupage	22	55	66	2	0	1	0	62	4	3	2	0	37	7	1	6	1	0	6	11	0	0	0	1
Edgar	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	5	10	0	0	0	2	6	0	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Edwards	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Effingham	2	4	2	0	0	0	0	4	2	0	3	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Fayette	0	4	2	2	0	0	0	1	14	1	2	1	8	1	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ford	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Franklin	1	6	8	0	0	1	0	5	4	0	0	0	7	6	0	2	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0
Fulton	0	2	2	0	2	3	0	1	1	0	2	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0
Gallatin	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Greene	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
Grundy	1	3	7	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0
Hamilton	2	2	1	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	1	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Hancock	4	2	0	0	0	1	0	1	3	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	1	1	0	0
Hardin	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henderson	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Henry	1	13	6	0	0	2	0	7	5	1	1	0	5	4	2	2	0	0	1	2	4	0	0	0
Iroquois	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	1	1	1	4	2	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
Jackson	1	7	5	0	0	0	0	6	0	1	0	1	12	6	0	2	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0
Jasper	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jefferson	0	7	6	0	0	0	0	3	5	0	0	0	4	12	0	0	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	1
Jersey	0	4	7	0	0	0	0	10	1	0	1	3	4	2	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jo Daviess	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Johnson	2	0	3	0	0	4	0	1	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
Kane	7	28	19	2	0	3	1	25	1	5	6	1	25	5	1	5	0	0	3	5	2	1	1	1
Kankakee	4	18	5	0	0	0	0	7	1	2	0	1	10	6	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kendall	3	11	3	1	0	1	1	8	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
Knox	1	8	4	0	0	4	0	3	5	1	0	1	8	10	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Lake	29	72	35	5	0	7	0	40	7	2	7	0	23	9	1	2	1	0	2	6	0	0	0	0
Lasalle	7	47	26	0	1	6	0	15	0	0	4	1	24	27	1	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
Lawrence	1	2	9	0	0	0	0	2	23	0	2	0	9	6	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	1
Lee	0	5	5	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	3	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Livingston	0	4	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	5	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Logan	3	4	4	1	1	0	0	9	3	0	1	1	5	4	0	2	0	0	0	6	0	0	0	0
McDonough	2	8	3	1	0	0	0	5	4	2	1	0	6	4	1	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
McHenry	2	6	9	0	0	0	0	14	4	2	0	1	5	4	0	1	1	0	1	4	2	0	0	0
McLean	5	20	10	2	0	1	0	11	7	4	4	4	10	16	1	4	0	0	2	3	1	0	0	0
Macon	21	48	21	3	1	11	0	21	2	3	4	2	44	10	3	6	0	0	1	8	1	0	0	0
Macoupin	0	7	2	0	0	2	0	4	8	0	3	0	6	14	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Madison	7	43	21	1	1	2	0	24	15	1	4	1	44	16	0	2	0	0	3	18	0	0	0	0
Marion	0	15	12	0	0	0	0	2	4	2	0	0	6	5	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Marshall	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mason	1	6	2	0	0	0	0	1	4	2	0	0	4	5	0	1	0	0	1	3	0	0	0	0
Massac	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Menard	0	7	3	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Mercer	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
Monroe	0	5	2	0	0	1	0	5	1	0	0	0	5	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Montgomery	2	8	2	2	0	1	0	5	7	1	1	0	5	8	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Morgan	0	5	3	0	0	0	0	2	8	1	0	0	4	6	1	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Moultrie	1	1	1	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Ogle	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	4	1	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Peoria	6	36	20	1	1	2	0	16	1	4	4	1	28	10	1	3	0	0	0	10	1	0	0	0
Perry	0	7	8	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Piatt	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
Pike	0	0	1	0	0	3	0	3	4	0	3	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
Pope	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	2	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pulaski	0	0	0</																					

ARI SFY18 Data for Planning and Implementation Grant

2016 Suggested Program Eligible Individuals - by County

Committing County	Average Age	Black	White	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Female	Male	MSR New sentence violators
Adams	35	30	82	1	0	0	19	94	7
Alexander	35	2	2	0	0	0	0	4	0
Bond	31	2	20	0	0	0	6	16	3
Boone	35	13	28	7	0	0	7	41	2
Brown	22	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Bureau	29	3	21	4	0	0	7	21	6
Calhoun	38	0	8	0	0	0	2	6	2
Carroll	34	0	9	0	0	0	3	6	0
Cass	37	2	6	0	0	0	2	6	0
Champaign	34	89	66	8	0	0	27	137	18
Christian	35	0	30	0	0	0	3	27	3
Clark	31	0	21	0	0	0	7	14	1
Clay	32	1	31	0	0	0	6	26	2
Clinton	33	3	32	0	0	0	7	28	4
Coles	33	4	37	0	0	0	9	32	3
Cook	38	4075	614	714	19	5	516	4914	583
Crawford	33	0	28	0	0	0	6	22	0
Cumberland	62	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
DeKalb	33	19	15	6	1	0	7	34	4
DeWitt	31	1	14	1	0	0	4	12	1
Douglas	39	2	13	1	0	0	0	16	2
Dupage	35	99	126	57	3	1	43	245	35
Edgar	34	0	37	0	0	0	7	30	1
Edwards	34	0	7	0	0	0	1	6	1
Effingham	32	1	22	0	0	0	2	21	4
Fayette	34	0	38	0	0	0	8	30	3
Ford	32	1	4	0	0	0	1	4	1
Franklin	32	3	39	0	0	0	8	34	2
Fulton	33	3	17	1	0	0	4	17	1
Gallatin	29	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Greene	28	0	15	0	0	0	2	13	3
Grundy	31	0	22	0	0	0	3	19	3
Hamilton	35	1	14	0	0	0	4	11	1
Hancock	35	1	14	0	0	0	3	12	1
Hardin	28	0	5	0	0	0	1	4	0
Henderson	29	0	6	0	0	0	1	5	1
Henry	33	12	40	4	0	0	9	47	4
Iroquois	33	3	11	3	0	0	2	15	1
Jackson	33	21	22	2	0	0	2	43	4
Jasper	33	0	10	0	0	0	4	6	0
Jefferson	32	9	32	1	0	0	10	32	1
Jersey	31	1	31	0	0	1	3	30	6

ARI SFY18 Data for Planning and Implementation Grant

Committing County	Average Age	Black	White	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Female	Male	MSR New sentence violators
Jo Daviess	34	1	7	0	0	0	1	7	0
Johnson	27	4	13	1	0	0	4	14	0
Kane	35	65	43	38	0	0	17	129	19
Kankakee	33	32	21	3	0	0	6	50	10
Kendall	34	16	16	3	0	0	3	32	2
Knox	33	8	38	2	0	0	12	37	4
Lake	35	113	95	36	2	0	24	224	39
Lasalle	32	31	119	14	0	0	47	117	19
Lawrence	36	0	56	0	0	0	18	39	1
Lee	34	2	14	0	0	0	8	8	0
Livingston	36	3	13	0	0	0	2	14	2
Logan	34	9	35	0	0	0	9	35	2
McDonough	33	6	33	0	0	0	6	33	2
McHenry	34	7	41	7	1	0	6	50	5
McLean	35	48	52	4	0	1	21	84	7
Macon	34	93	115	2	0	0	42	169	25
Macoupin	34	4	43	1	0	0	7	41	4
Madison	34	37	165	1	0	0	40	163	31
Marion	35	5	43	0	0	0	15	33	5
Marshall	32	0	3	0	0	0	0	3	1
Mason	33	0	30	0	0	0	4	26	1
Massac	37	1	6	0	0	0	1	6	0
Menard	33	0	11	0	0	0	1	10	1
Mercer	29	1	8	0	0	0	0	9	1
Monroe	33	2	18	1	0	0	6	15	0
Montgomery	37	2	40	0	1	0	3	40	6
Morgan	37	4	28	0	0	0	10	22	6
Moultrie	28	0	9	0	0	0	1	8	0
Ogle	31	1	9	2	0	0	0	12	0
Peoria	38	81	61	3	0	0	22	123	23
Perry	31	3	17	0	0	0	4	16	1
Piatt	36	2	6	0	0	0	1	7	0
Pike	35	0	24	0	0	0	6	18	2
Pope	35	0	9	0	0	0	2	7	0
Pulaski	50	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Putnam	25	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
Randolph	34	5	26	0	0	0	6	25	2
Richland	31	0	11	0	0	0	4	7	0
Rock Island	37	33	32	5	0	0	11	59	4
St. Clair	35	60	84	1	0	2	21	126	15
Saline	33	3	29	0	0	0	7	25	1
Sangamon	36	95	81	2	1	1	29	151	27
Schuyler	56	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
Scott	36	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	0

ARI SFY18 Data for Planning and Implementation Grant

Committing County	Average Age	Black	White	Hispanic	Asian	American Indian	Female	Male	MSR New sentence violators
Shelby	36	0	10	0	0	0	0	10	1
Stark	.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Stephenson	34	25	18	0	0	0	7	36	4
Tazewell	36	15	84	1	0	0	16	84	11
Union	31	2	27	0	0	0	2	27	4
Vermilion	35	27	64	2	0	0	21	72	9
Wabash	39	0	14	0	0	0	1	13	0
Warren	36	1	5	0	0	0	0	6	0
Washington	41	2	4	0	0	0	1	5	0
Wayne	30	0	15	0	0	0	3	12	0
White	32	0	37	1	0	0	7	31	4
Whiteside	34	10	34	11	0	0	8	47	2
Will	33	228	192	73	2	2	75	424	70
Williamson	32	8	11	0	0	0	3	16	4
Winnebago	34	107	96	10	1	1	37	178	42
Woodford	35	2	30	1	0	0	6	27	3