

Kathryn Saltmarsh **Executive Director** 217-558-4749

Kathy.Saltmarsh@Illinois.gov

## House Bill 218-House Amendment 1

SENTENCE REDUCTIONS FOR POSSESSION OF CANNABIS UNDER 30 GRAMS 720 ILCS 550 SECTION 4

**TOTAL BENEFITS IN REDUCED COSTS OVER THREE YEARS: \$16,607,802 TOTAL PETTY OFFENSE REVENUE OVER THREE YEARS: \$1,528,500 TOTAL VICTIMIZATION COSTS OVER THREE YEARS: \$149,439** 

# **NET BENEFITS (BENEFITS MINUS COSTS): \$18.0 MILLION**

Table 1. Total Change in Costs over Three Years

720 ILCS 550 Section:	Statute Description	Current costs	Petty offense revenue	Additional victimization costs	Total Benefits*
4(a)	Possession Less Than 2.5 grams Cannabis	\$4,765,263	\$501,625	\$0	\$5,266,888
4(b)	Possession 2.5 to 10 grams Cannabis	\$4,980,953	\$558,875	\$0	\$5,539,828
4(c)	Possession 10 to 30 grams Cannabis	\$6,861,586	\$468,000	\$149,439	\$7,180,147
	TOTAL	\$16,607,802	\$1,528,500	\$149,439	\$17,986,863

<sup>\*</sup> Total Benefits are the costs avoided (Current costs) plus the revenue generated by the petty offense tickets (Petty offense revenue) minus the change in crime due to the policy (Additional victimization costs). All of these benefits are expected had the legislative proposal been in effect and revenues that would have been generated by petty offense fines.

Source: CHRI and IDOC data, SPAC calculations

**POLICY QUESTION:** Will this policy change generate an increase in crime that would outweigh the benefits of this proposal?

House Bill 218 with House Amendment 1 (HB 218-HA1) reclassifies the Cannabis Control Act by replacing the misdemeanor or felony crimes for possessing less than 30 grams of cannabis with a \$125 petty offense ticket. This reclassification would result in fewer incarcerations overall and shorter prison and jail stays for these possession offenses. It would also reduce probation and supervision costs. Finally, it would generate revenue from the petty offense tickets.

SPAC used the most recent data from fiscal years 2011, 2012, and 2013 for arrests, convictions, IDOC admissions, and probation sentences to identify the number of individuals charged with cannabis offenses in those years. These numbers are then used to calculate the cost to the system had the policy been in effect for those years.

SPAC has refined its methodology by adding an estimate of victimization costs and benefits. Changes in sentence length may affect victims in two ways. First, as the average age of exiting offenders decreases, their likelihood of recidivating generally increases. Second, some crimes are delayed because offenders are incapacitated, creating the benefit of longer time periods without victimization by that offender. Because of the short stays, SPAC only used the second approach for measuring victimizations. This is a reasonable

Table 2. Total Change in Costs over Three

Change in	Three Year Value of Benefits
Local Detention	\$6,106,368
Benefits	ΨΟΙΙΟΟΙΟ
Local Probation	\$10,101,970
Benefits	Ψ10,101,770
<b>Total Local Costs</b>	¢16 200 220
Avoided	\$16,208,338
State Prison	\$399,465
Benefits	\$377,403
State Supervision	\$0
Benefits	ΨΟ
<b>Total State Costs</b>	\$399,465
Avoided	\$377, <del>4</del> 03
Total Costs	¢16 607 002
Avoided	\$16,607,802
Revenue	\$1,528,500
Victimization Costs	\$149,439
<b>Total Benefits</b>	\$17,986,863

approximation of the dollar value of the change in timing of victimizations due to cannabis offenders.

In Table 1 above, the total benefits column includes both local and state costs. Table 2 (above right) divides out these costs. The following pages explain each subsection's calculations.

**Table 3.** Sentencing Changes to the Cannabis Control Act – HB 218 HA1

			Possession 720 ILCS 550/4			
Ca	annabis Amounts	Current Law	HB 218	HB 218 - HA1		
1	1-2.5 grams (a)	Class C				
2	2.5-10 grams (b)	Class B	Petty Offense	Petty Offense (\$125)		
10-30 grams	First offense	Class A	(\$100)			
(c)	Second or more offense	Class 4				
30-500	First offense	Class 4	Class A	Class 4		
(d)	grams (d) Second or more offense	Class 3	Class 4	Class 3		
500-2,000 grams (e) 2,000-5,000 grams (f)		Class 3	Class 3	Class 3		
		Class 2	Class 2	Class 2		
Ove	er 5,000 grams (g)	Class 1	Class 1	Class 1		

**METHODOLOGY:** SPAC performed a retrospective analysis of data on arrests, convictions, and sentences for these offenses in fiscal years 2011, 2012, and 2013. This approach will be used while SPAC builds its capacity to produce a reliable population projection. Over these three years, SPAC's analysis of the state's Criminal History Reporting Information (CHRI) data show:

**Table 4.** Cannabis Control Act Offenses and Sentencing Outcomes<sup>1</sup>

	Any Can	nabis Pos	ssession
	2011	2012	2013
Arrests	44,777	41,576	40,210
Convictions	4,998	3,441	2,892
Probation	748	736	669
Withheld Judgments	3,307	2,131	1,948
IDOC Admissions	215	243	244
Average Sentence Imposed	2.0 yrs	1.7 yrs	1.8 yrs
Average Prison Time Served	0.6 yrs	0.7 yrs	0.7 yrs
Average Pretrial Detention Period	0.3 yrs	0.3 yrs	0.4 yrs
Total time in custody (years)	0.9	1.0	1.1

To calculate the cost of state corrections spending on these offenses for 2011 through 2013, SPAC used CHRI and IDOC data on (A) the number of convictions for first and subsequent arrests under the applicable statutes, (B) the average length of stay in IDOC facilities, and (C) the per capita cost per inmate per year. SPAC used the marginal cost figure of \$5,834 per inmate, which represents the cost of adding one additional inmate, because the population affected is less than 800 inmates, the equivalent of a housing unit. If the population impact exceeds 800 inmates, SPAC will use the per capita cost of \$22,201, which accounts for the increased administrative and operational costs of obtaining and maintaining additional bed space. Because

April 2015 Cannabis Page 2 of 8
HB 218 HA1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The differences in arrest, conviction, and sentencing numbers reflect the practices of charging decisions, plea bargains, and trial outcomes. Many individuals arrested for these cannabis offenses have charges dropped without a guilty plea or trial. Other offenses receive "withheld judgments," which occur when an offender pleads guilty and gets sentenced to 1410, 710, or TASC probation. If the offender successfully completes the probation the plea is vacated. If an offender is sentenced to court supervision, the judgment is not entered and the charges are dismissed at the end of the supervision term. In both cases, the offender avoids a criminal conviction for that offense.

HB 218 requires no prison sentence, the state costs over these three years would have been avoided had the bill been in effect.

For local costs, SPAC surveyed county jails on marginal costs. The responses provided a statewide average marginal cost of \$15,256 per person that incorporates Cook County, suburban counties, and counties across the state. The Administrative Office of the Illinois Courts (AOIC) calculated the cost of probation based on risk level. The \$1,800 per person per year is the average of these annual costs. To calculate the cost of pretrial detention, local supervision (probation), and misdemeanor jail sentences, SPAC examined the CHRI data for time served (pretrial detention) and the sentence lengths ordered by the court for jail or probation terms. These costs would also have been avoided had the bill been in effect for these three years.

As SPAC builds its capability for estimating costs and benefits to other stakeholders—the judicial system, probation systems, law enforcement, and communities—SPAC will include impact on these areas and constituencies in its analysis of proposed legislation.

#### LIMITATIONS AND ASSUMPTIONS:

- The analysis excludes the cost of state supervision during mandatory supervised release.
- SPAC does not include the local costs for detaining offenders who are arrested but not convicted or given a withheld judgment.
- The administrative costs of issuing tickets and collecting petty offense fines could not be determined due to data limitations.
- To calculate the total number of offenders with arrests, convictions, probation sentences, or withheld
  judgments, SPAC counts the number of offenders with at least one charge under each subsection of
  the Cannabis Control Act. For the total number of offenders admitted to IDOC, SPAC counts
  offenders only under their most serious offense.
- The capital cost of building or acquiring more prison beds is not included.
- Costs for criminal justice employees' health and pension benefits are not included because they are
  carried in the Central Management Services (CMS) budget and not the individual agency budgets.
   IDOC estimates that taxpayers pay an additional \$14,901 per inmate per year for health and pension
  benefits.

## SPAC'S FIGURES DIFFER FROM IDOC'S FISCAL NOTES FOR THE FOLLOWING REASONS:

- IDOC projects forward ten years based on past years' admissions to prison. In contrast, SPAC analyzes the last three years and calculates the costs that would have occurred had the proposed changes been the law. Both methods assume that there are no additional offenders being sentenced as a result of this proposal.
- IDOC accounts for the increased space needed due to keeping the same number of offenders
  incarcerated for a significantly longer amount of time by adding capital costs of construction to their
  estimate when the change to the population exceeds 500 beds. Please note that "costs of
  construction" reflect the higher operational costs of providing additional beds over time, whether that
  is done through construction of new facilities or other means such as reopening closed facilities or
  renting space in other jurisdictions. SPAC does not include costs of construction but uses the higher
  per capita cost.
- SPAC determines annual cost estimates at the beginning of each year and uses these estimates in every analysis. This method allows for comparisons of bills throughout the legislative session terms.
- If the impact on the average daily population (ADP) is 800 people or more, SPAC uses a per capita cost which accounts for the increased administrative and space-management costs. If the change is less than 800, SPAC uses the marginal cost of incarceration which is the additional cost of adding just one inmate to the population, which does not require administrative or space-management costs. SPAC uses this number because 800 beds equals one housing unit and four housing units make one prison.

The following pages describe the impact categories that the proposed sentencing change would have on the Illinois criminal justice system. First, a narrative section describes each impact and how SPAC estimated the dollar value of the impact. Second, the tables used to create the estimates are shown in full detail.

#### IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON STATE PRISONS:

\$399,465

Avoided costs over three years.

The above estimates are the total costs to IDOC that would have been avoided had these policies been in place from 2011 through 2013. This estimate uses the annual marginal cost of \$5,834 per inmate. This estimate excludes IDOC post-release supervision costs and is due mainly to fewer Class 4 felony offenders entering prison.

#### IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON COUNTY JAILS:

\$6,106,368

Avoided costs over three years.

Jails would see a change in their average daily population due to changes in the number of offenders detained and misdemeanor sentences that could include jail time. This statewide reduction uses the estimated jail detention cost of \$15,256 per inmate per year. SPAC conservatively excludes the cost of detaining individuals arrested or charged but not convicted. Avoiding these detentions would result in additional costs avoided for jails.

#### IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON PROBATION:

\$10,101,970

Avoided costs over three years.

As a general rule, probation costs significantly less than prison. For this analysis, SPAC used \$1,800 per individual per year as the average cost of probation based on information provided by AOIC for FY13. The costs avoided are due to currently probationable offenses being reclassified to a petty offense. This change in caseload does not signify a change in the need for probation officers to adequately supervise all offenders sentenced to probation.

# IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON VICTIMS, PUBLIC SAFETY, AND COMMUNITIES:

\$149,439

Additional victimization costs over three years.

Decreasing sentences shortens the incapacitation of offenders. SPAC incorporates the incapacitation effect on victims in two ways:

- 1. Offenders may age out—because the average age at exit would be younger, the recidivism rate may be higher as younger felons generally recidivate more (negative recidivism benefits). Negative victimization benefits are additional victim costs. SPAC reviewed historical data to find recidivism rates at each age from 18 through 60 and applied these recidivism rates and trends to the age offenders would have exited, had the bill been in effect.<sup>2</sup>
  - The estimate presented here calculates the victim effects due to changes in recidivism for three age groups: those offenders under 27, who have falling recidivism rates with increased age; those offenders between 28 and 36 with rising recidivism rates; and those offenders older than 37, who exhibit gradual reductions in recidivism rates. Because these age groups' recidivism rates changed consistently across crime types, felony classes, and gender, SPAC found these methods reasonable for calculating changes in recidivism due to sentencing changes. The SPAC Victimization Supplement further describes the methodology.
- 2. Crimes are delayed because offenders are incapacitated meaning crimes may occur earlier or later based on the timing of the offenders' release (incapacitation benefits). Because a dollar not stolen today is worth more than a dollar stolen tomorrow, crime delays create benefits to crime victims. This effect is

April 2015 Cannabis Page 4 of 8

HB 218 HA1

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> These impacts were measured against the national dollar values of index crimes. The dollar values include both tangible (medical and employment losses, property losses) and intangible (pain and suffering) costs, following the best national research completed in 2010. A full description of the methodology is available in the Victimization Supplement.

generally referred to as the social discount rate. SPAC used a 3% discount rate to victimizations under the different incapacitation lengths to estimate a possible benefit of delayed crime.

• Table 5 lists the victimization costs caused by cannabis offenders in the past, within both one and three years from release. The table shows the benefits of delayed release due to the new sentence lengths and the benefits of changing the age at release (benefits of changing recidivism levels).

**Table 5**. Victimization Effects (negative numbers represent additional victim costs)

	First Year Victimization Costs	Three Years Victimization Costs	Incapacitatio n Benefits	Recidivism Benefits	Total Victimization Benefits
Cannabis Possession, Less Than 30g 4(c)	\$17,133	\$49,103	-\$61,333	-\$88,106	-\$149,439

# IMPACT OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION ON PETTY OFFENSE REVENUE:

\$1,528,500

Additional revenue over three years.

Had the legislation been in effect from 2011 to 2013, many individuals would have received \$125 fines rather than sentences resulting in \$509,500 in fines annually. SPAC estimated this revenue generated from 12,228 offenders and added it to total statewide benefits.

# OTHER UNKNOWN IMPACTS OF PROPOSED LEGISLATION:

## JUDICIAL SYSTEM.

Petty offense processing would consume administrative resources. However, the additional administrative processing may be offset by fewer misdemeanor cases by courts. Due to the multitude of possibilities for implementing the administrative processing of the petty offense tickets, SPAC was unable to reliably estimate the size of these two effects.

# LAW ENFORCEMENT.

At this time, no reliable data are available to estimate the effects on law enforcement operations of reclassifying these offenses.

# **IMPACT CALCULATIONS**

# **Cannabis Possession For Less Than 2.5 Grams**

720 ILCS 550/4(a) 2011, 2012, and 2013

Total Arrests	54,381
Total Convictions	3,116
Total Withheld Judgments	2,758

Withheld judgments result in charges being dismissed or the judgment of guilt being vacated upon successful completion of 1410, 710, or TASC probation.

	Dollar Value From 2011 to 2013
Current Cost	\$4,765,263
Proposed Cost	\$0
Petty Offense Revenue	\$501,625
Total Benefits	\$5,266,888

		Number of Offenders	Average Sentence Imposed (days)	Average Sentence Imposed (years)	Average Sentence Served (years)
			A	A / 365.25	L
<b>a</b> .	Pretrial Detention	4,013	1	0.00	0.00
Sentences	Probation	237	460	1.26	1.26
	Special Probation	2,758	217	0.59	0.59
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	1,018	26	0.07	0.07
	IDOC Prison	-	-	-	-

Note:

 $Special\ probation\ refers\ to\ supervision\ with\ special\ conditions,\ such\ as\ 1410,\ 710,\ or\ TASC\ probation.$ 

		Cost	Length of Time (Years)	Number of Offenders	Current Cost for Each Offender	Total Cost of Current System
		С	L	N	CxL	CxLxN
	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	0.00	4,013	\$43	\$173,048
<b>Current Costs</b>	Probation	\$1,800	1.26	237	\$2,267	\$537,265
	Special Probation	\$1,800	0.59	2,758	\$1,069	\$2,949,418
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	\$15,256	0.07	1,018	\$1,086	\$1,105,533
	IDOC Prison	\$5,834	-	=	0	\$0
	Total				\$4,465	\$4,765,263

Note:

SPAC uses the average cost of supervising all risk levels of offenders from AOIC's 2011 calculations.

	Area Affected	Cost	Length of Time Expected (Years)	Number of Offenders	Cost of Legislative Proposal Per Offender	Total Cost of Legislative Proposal
		С	L'	N	C x L'	C x L' x N
Effect of Legislative	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0
Proposal	Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0
	Special Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0
	Petty Offense Fines	\$125	-	4,013	\$125	\$501,625
	Total				\$125	\$501,625

# Cannabis Possession 2.5 to 10 grams 720 ILCS 550/4(b)

2011, 2012, and 2013

Total Arrests	44,929
Total Convictions	3,420
Total Withheld Judgments	2,527

Withheld judgments result in charges being dismissed or the judgment of guilt being vacated upon successful completion of 1410, 710, or TASC probation.

	Dollar Value From
	2011 to 2013
Current Cost	\$4,980,953
Proposed Cost	\$0
Petty Offense Revenue	\$558,875
Total Benefits	\$5,539,828

	Number Offende		Average Sentence Imposed (days)	Average Sentence Imposed (years)	Average Sentence Served (years)	
			A	A / 365.25	L	
Sentences	Pretrial Detention	4,471	1.7	0.00	0.00	
	Probation	209	392	1.07	1.07	
	Special Probation	2,527	202	0.55	0.55	
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	1,735	24	0.07	0.07	
	IDOC Prison	-	-	-	-	

Note:

Special probation refers to supervision with special conditions, such as 1410, 710, or TASC probation.

		Cost	Length of Time (Years)	Number of Offenders	Current Cost for Each Offender	Total Cost of Current System
		С	L	N	C x L	CxLxN
Current Costs	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	0.00	4,471	\$72	\$322,370
	Probation	\$1,800	1.07	209	\$1,932	\$403,752
	Special Probation	\$1,800	0.55	2,527	\$995	\$2,515,584
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	\$15,256	0.07	1,735	\$1,002	\$1,739,247
	Total				\$4,002	\$4,980,953

Note:

SPAC uses the average cost of supervising all risk levels of offenders from AOIC's 2011 calculations.

	Area Affected	Cost	Length of Time Expected (Years)	Number of Offenders	Cost of Legislative Proposal Per Offender	Total Cost of Legislative Proposal	
		С	L'	N	C x L'	C x L' x N	
Effect of Legislative	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0	
Proposal	Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0	
	Special Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0	
	Misdemeanor Jail Term	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0	
	Petty Offense Fines	\$125	-	4,471	\$125	\$558,875	
	Total		·		\$125	\$558,875	

## Cannabis Possession 10 to 30 grams

720 ILCS 550/4(c)

2011, 2012, and 2013

Total Arrests	17,906
Total Convictions	2,850
Total Withheld Judgments	1,664

Withheld judgments result in charges being dismissed or the judgment of guilt being vacated upon successful completion of 1410, 710, or TASC probation.

	Dollar Value From 2011 to 2013
Current Cost	\$6,861,586
Proposed Cost	\$0
Petty Offense Revenue	\$468,000
Victimization Benefits	-\$149,439
Total Benefits	\$7,180,147

	Number of Offenders		Average Sentence (days)	Average Sentence Imposed (years)	Average Sentence Served (years)	
			A	A / 365.25	L	
Sentences	Pretrial Detention	3,744	4.9	0.01	0.01	
	Probation	650	565	1.55	1.55	
	Special Probation	1,664	230	0.63	0.63	
	Misdemeanor Jail Terr	1,263	38	0.10	0.10	
	IDOC Prison	167		1.31	0.41	

Note:

Special probation refers to supervision with special conditions, such as 1410, 710, or TASC probation. Pretrial detention is calculated to include all offenders with probation or a prison sentence.

		Cost	Length of Time (Years)	Number of Offenders	Current Cost for Each Offender	Total Cost of Current System
		С	L	N	C x L	CxLxN
	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	0.01	3,744	\$203	\$761,526
	Probation	\$1,800	1.55	650	\$2,784	\$1,809,856
Current Costs	Special Probation	\$1,800	0.63	1,664	\$1,133	\$1,886,094
	Misdemeanor Jail Terr	\$15,256	0.10	1,263	\$1,587	\$2,004,645
	IDOC Prison	\$5,834	0.41	167	\$2,392	\$399,465
	IDOC Supervision	\$1,834	-	-	\$0	\$0
	Total		The state of the s		\$8.100	\$6.861.586

Note:

 $\textit{SPAC uses the average cost of supervising all risk levels of offenders from AOIC's 2011 \ calculations.}$ 

	Area Affected	ea Affected Cost Length Expecte		Number of Offenders	Cost of Legislative Proposal Per Offender	Total Cost of Legislative Proposal
		С	L'	N	C x L'	C x L' x N
Effect of Logicletine	Pretrial Detention	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0
Effect of Legislative	Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0
Proposal	Special Probation	\$1,800	-	-	\$0	\$0
	IDOC Prison	\$15,256	-	-	\$0	\$0
	Petty Offense Fines	\$125	=	3,744	\$125	\$468,000
	Total Costs					\$0
	Total Revenue					\$468,000

Note:

Because the revenues are costs avoided, they are subtracted rather than added to the costs of this proposal.

Incapacitation Benefits	Length of Stay (Years)	Length of Stay Proposed (Years)		One Year Victimization Costs per Offender	Net Present Value of Victimization Costs under Proposal (3% discount rate)	Net Present Value of Changes in Length of Stay	Number of Offenders	Victimization Benefits
	L	L'	L' - L = D	V1	$V1/[(1+0.03)^T] = V1'$	NPV = V1' - V1	N	NPV x N
	0.73	-	0.73	\$17,133	\$16,766	-\$367	167	-\$61,333
							Total	-\$61,333

	Age Groups for Offenders	Percent of Offenders in Each Age Group	Number Offenders	Recidivism Rate Change per Year Older	Difference in Years	Predicted Recidivism Rate Change	Ratio of Conviction Rate to Recidivism Rate	Three Year Victimization Costs per Offender	Victimization Benefits
Recidivism Benefits		P	N x P = N'	К	L' - L = D	K x D = E	(Convictions : Recidivism) = Z	V3	N' x E x Z x V3
	18 to 27	39.5%	66	-2.1%	-0.73	1.5%	1.65	-\$49,103	-\$82,274.23
	28 to 36	36.4%	61	0.3%	-0.73	-0.2%	1.65	-\$49,103	\$10,831.04
	37 to 50	24.0%	40	-0.7%	-0.73	0.5%	1.65	-\$49,103	-\$16,663.13
	Total	100%	167						-\$88,106